

# Staff Summary Report



Development Review Commission Date: 03/12/08

Agenda Item Number: \_\_\_\_\_

**SUBJECT:** Hold a public hearing for a Planned Area Development Overlay for M7 MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT located at 701 South Mill Avenue.

**DOCUMENT NAME:** DRCr\_M7\_031208


**PLANNED DEVELOPMENT (0406)**

**SUPPORTING DOCS:** Yes

**COMMENTS:** Request for **M7 MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT (PL060681)** (Mario Sanchez, Tempe Mill LLC, property owner; Manjula Vaz, Gammage & Burnham, applicant) consisting of a mixed-use development for three buildings, including ground floor commercial, a seventeen story hotel with conference center, two twenty-six story residential towers, and underground parking, within approximately 1,258,000 s.f. of total building on 1.73 acres, located at 701 South Mill Avenue in the CC, City Center District and in the Transportation Overlay. The request includes the following:

**PAD07004 – (Ordinance No. 2008.12)** Planned Area Development Overlay to modify development standards to allow a building height increase from 50' to 195' for the hotel building and 306' for two residential buildings; and a reduction in the TOD required parking from 1,620 to 1,129 spaces.

**PREPARED BY:** Ryan Levesque, Senior Planner (480-858-2393)


**REVIEWED BY:** Lisa Collins, Development Services Planning Director (480-350-8989) 

**LEGAL REVIEW BY:** David Park, Assistant City Attorney (480-350-8907)

**FISCAL NOTE:** N/A

**RECOMMENDATION:** Staff – Approval, subject to conditions (1-6).

## ADDITIONAL INFO:



|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Existing site area          | 1.67 acres (including dedicated alley 1.73 acres)                       |
| Total Building area         | 1,257,694 s.f.  |
| Dwelling Units              | 370 units (214 du/ac)   |
| Hotel Rooms                 | 240   |
| Commercial space            | 39,067 s.f.   |
| Conference space            | 51,801 net s.f. (113,022 gross s.f.)                                    |
| Lot Coverage                | 81 % (No Standard in CC district)                                       |
| Building Height             | 306' two residential bldgs.; 195' hotel bldg. (50' max. in CC district) |
| Downtown Height Guidelines: |   |
| "Mill Avenue Corridor"      | 150' max. (15' step-back @ 50' height; 10' step-back @ 75' height)      |
| "Urban Center"              | 300' max.   |
| Building setbacks           | 0' all sides (0' min.)  |
| Landscape area              | 42% (No Standard in CC district)  |
| Vehicle Parking             | 1,129 spaces proposed per parking reduction (1,620 min. req'd. per TOD) |
| Bicycle Parking             | approx. 417 spaces (417 min. required)                                  |

- PAGES:**
1. List of Attachments
  - 2-4. Comments / Reason for Approval
  5. Conditions of Approval
  6. History & Facts / Zoning & Development Code Reference

- ATTACHMENTS:**
1. Ordinance No. 2008.12
  - 2-3. Waiver of Rights and Remedies form
  4. Location Map(s)
  5. Aerial Photo(s)
  - 6-15. Letter of Explanation
  - 16-28. Parking Analysis
  - 29-40. Neighborhood Meeting Summary(s)
  - 41-42. Planned Area Development: Site plan
  - 43-54. Floor plans
  - 55-57. Building Elevations
  - 58-62. Concept Renderings
  - 63-65. Building Sections
  66. Landscape Plan
  67. Existing Site Condition (ALTA Survey)

## COMMENTS:

The project site is located at the southeast corner of Mill Avenue and 7<sup>th</sup> Street extending to the corner of Myrtle Avenue and 7<sup>th</sup> Street, north of the existing alley and the Jack-in-the-Box along Mill. The current site consists of a vacant lot at the corner of Mill and 7<sup>th</sup> Street, the former site of Long Wong's and other retail tenants. Existing buildings and uses include an internet café, general office and commercial buildings, and a twenty-seven unit apartment complex at the corner of Myrtle Avenue. The surrounding properties to the South of the site include a drive-through Jack-in-the-Box, and separated by a twenty foot alley a two level parking garage for office use, commercial buildings, Salvation Army and related housing. On the north side of 7<sup>th</sup> Street is the Brickyard on Mill development with commercial and University uses. To the west are existing Mill Avenue merchants. To the east is a vacant block proposed for redevelopment.

This request includes the following:

1. A Planned Area Development Overlay to modify development standards, specifically for building height, parking, and to establish other general standards such as density, setbacks, landscape and lot coverage.

For further processing, additional required applications include:

2. Use Permit to allow tandem parking;
3. Development Plan Review for site plan, building elevations and landscape plan; and
4. An Amended Subdivision Plat and/or Condominium Plat.

The proposed project consists of a 240 room hotel with over 50,000 s.f. of conference space, two residential condominium towers for 370 units, and approximately 39,000 s.f. of ground floor commercial. Parking provided on-site consists of five levels of below grade parking with one level dedicated for access onto 7<sup>th</sup> Street, intended for valet parking management. Access to the remaining four underground parking levels is on Myrtle Avenue to the east. The M7 Mixed Use Development proposes to abandon the existing public alley that extends to the north and encroach within the right-of-way along 7<sup>th</sup> Street and Myrtle Avenue to the existing curbs, for the purpose of constructing the underground parking. As a result, underground infrastructure will be relocated as a part of this development, subject to further review by the City. The significant component to this project is the conference center space, which spans across the majority of the development site on the second and third floors. This development would provide the largest proposed space dedicated for conference use for the Downtown Tempe area.

## PUBLIC INPUT

The request for a Planned Area Development Overlay requires a neighborhood meeting prior to public hearings for the request. In addition to complying with the neighborhood meeting requirements, the applicants met with the Sunset-Riverside Neighborhood Association group on December 4, 2007, consisting of residents living north of University Drive between Farmer Avenue and Priest Drive, west of Downtown Tempe. Feedback and discussion was provided on the project. On December 10, 2007 the applicants presented the project at the Downtown Tempe Community's (DTC) HOT Team meeting. See attached related meeting summaries and letter from the DTC. The project team plans to present the project again to the full DTC board on March 4, 2008.

On January 8, 2008, the applicant held the required neighborhood meeting for the project, located at Hatton Hall on 34 E. 7<sup>th</sup> Street, with staff in attendance. The meeting was facilitated by Manjula Vaz, Gammage & Burnham. Also present were Mario Sanchez, property owner; representatives from Parragon Development, John Cahill; and representatives from RSP Architects.

There were about eight residents in attendance. From the discussion, most were residents residing at the Orchidhouse (31 E. 6<sup>th</sup> Street). Residents initiated discussion on the following items:

- The availability of public parking (300+)
- Potential traffic impacts
- If 7<sup>th</sup> or Myrtle would be widened (no)
- If any units will be rentals (all will be individually owned but owners can choose to rent them out)
- Price range (too early to give specifics but anticipate "middle market")
- Parking to bedroom ratio (.75)
- How deliveries would work (alley access available)
- When the buildings would be demolished to avoid a dirt lot prior to construction (buildings will not be demoed until construction is ready to begin)
- If Jack in the Box would remain (owner has attempted to purchase Jack in the Box but the major issue appears to be the drive thru which wouldn't be allowed in a new site downtown)

While residents posed several questions, there did not appear to be any opposition. The primary concern appeared to be how the new development would impact traffic and their ability to get in and out of the Brickyard parking garage. For additional information see neighborhood meeting summary provided by the applicant in the attachments.

## PROJECT ANALYSIS

### PLANNED AREA DEVELOPMENT

The applicant is requesting a Planned Area Development Overlay, modifying existing standards currently identified for the property. Below is a comparison chart for the base standards of the property’s current zoning designations of CC, City Center District and the Transportation Overlay District (corridor) and the proposed PAD standards:

| M7 MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT                    | EXISTING STANDARDS | PROPOSED STANDARDS                           |
|---|--------------------|--|
| Standards                                   | CC(TOD)            | CC(TOD) w/ PAD                               |
| Residential Density (DU/acre)               | NS                 | 214 du/ac                                    |
| Building Height                             |                    |  |
| Building Height Maximum                     | 50 ft              | *306 ft. Condo Bldgs.<br>195 ft. Hotel Bldg. |
| Maximum Lot Coverage (% of net site area)   | NS                 | 81%  |
| Minimum Landscape Area (% of net site area) | NS                 | 42%  |
| Setbacks                                    |                    |  |
| North                                       | 0 ft               | 0 ft   |
| East  | 0 ft               | 0 ft   |
| West  | 0 ft               | 0 ft   |
| South                                       | 0 ft               | 0 ft   |
| Parking                                     | 1,620 spaces       | 1,129 spaces                                 |

*\* Note: Refer to Downtown Design Guidelines described below.*

The proposed PAD for the project is to modify standards in the CC, City Center District and within the TOD, Transportation Overlay for increase in height and a reduction in the required parking, as well as establishment of other standards identified in the chart. The maximum proposed building height, including all mechanical elements, for the two residential towers is 306’-0”. The current zoning designation allowance for building height on this site is 50’-0”. The Community Design Principles, accepted by the Central City Development Committee of the Whole on April 6, 2006, includes a Downtown Building Heights Concept Study for guidance of future developments within the downtown vicinity. The remaining property east of the alley to Myrtle Avenue is identified as “Urban Center” with a maximum height guideline of 300’. The proposed development for the two residential towers to the west generally conforms to the recommended guidelines of the “Urban Center” with a proposed height of 306’-0”. The additional building height above the height guideline accommodates a small portion of the building’s roof top and mechanical housing. Staff recommends approval of the requested height.

The western portion of the site to the existing alley has been identified as the “Mill Avenue Corridor” with a maximum height guideline of 150’, providing a 15’ step-back along Mill Avenue at a 50’ height and an additional 10’ step-back at 75’ in height. The hotel building facade, adjacent to Mill Avenue, has a proposed height at 52’-8” and then steps back approximately 20’ to an overall maximum height of 194’-8”. The proposed height along Mill Avenue generally conforms to the “Mill Avenue Corridor” step-back guidelines, with a slight variation in height and with the proposed balconies extending within the 75’ step-back zone. The overall building height of the hotel building does not conform to the maximum height guidelines of the “Mill Avenue Corridor” of 150’, extending to the alley. Approximately 40% of the hotel building tower is located within the “Urban Center” height guideline of 300’, under the maximum recommended height. The building’s additional height is less than 50% of the project’s overall street frontage along Mill Avenue. This massing relief on the south portion contains roof top amenities for the hotel use, including an outdoor pool. This building design does not create a “cavern effect” along Mill Avenue, which was the intention for the 150’ height guideline, as well as respecting some of the historic heights along the corridor. The additional height will also add to the diverse building heights existing and proposed along the street and still provide variation in heights along the majority of the frontage. Taking into account the building frontages general

conformance with the step-backs along Mill Avenue and the additional building height's linear mass, staff recommends approval. Conditions of approval are proposed that would identify the maximum required building mass envelope.

The applicant submitted to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) the proposed building heights of the project because of its proximity to the airport flight path. The FAA conducted an aeronautical study for this project and on January 22, 2008 made a determination of no hazard to air navigation, for a proposed height of 306'-0". The proposal was circulated by the FAA for public comment on December 11, 2007 and no comments objecting to the proposal were received by January 21, 2008.

The proposed project is also requesting a reduction in the minimum required parking standards, including reduction provided by the Transportation Overlay District. The applicant is requesting a reduction in the overall required parking from 1,620 to 1,129 spaces, which includes 18 on-street parking spaces. When considering the parking reductions, the proposed uses and their demand consist of residential and guest parking, hotel, conference space, and commercial space (retail, restaurant and bar). The project's proposed site plan includes on-street parking on all three street frontages. Parallel parking is provided on Mill and Myrtle Avenue and angled parking on 7<sup>th</sup> Street, consistent with existing parking on the opposite side of the street. The on-site parking consists of five levels of an underground structure. Below garage level 1 can be accessed from 7<sup>th</sup> Street and remaining levels 2-5 with ingress/egress from Myrtle Avenue. The 7<sup>th</sup> Street parking level 1 (205 spaces) is intended to be used for the hotel valet management only. Vehicles are dropped off at the valet/drop-off zone between the ingress and egress of the garage. Parking management then proceeds to park the vehicles using the eastern garage level 1 entrance and exits from the west.

The applicant has provided a professional parking analysis by Walker Parking Consultants. In the analysis reductions are considered based on shared use and peak demands for the proposed uses. The residential parking reductions provided by the Transportation Overlay Standards of .75 spaces per bedroom were analyzed using 100% of the parking ratio. Some of the parking demand for the convention center and retail was considered using the captive market, which are guests already parking on the site. The Downtown Tempe commercial retail and restaurant already utilize the captive market, whereby the majority of the business is generated from existing downtown offices, University students and faculty, and the local housing. The analysis took into further consideration, ratios for visitor and employee parking demands and weekday/weekend demands of the various uses. Based on the findings, parking will generate a peak demand of 1,023 parking spaces (at roughly 5:00 p.m. on a peak weekend). This project proposes to provide 1,129 parking spaces, exceeding professional parking analysis peak demand. Staff recommends approval of the proposed parking reductions.

## **Conclusion**

Based on the information provided by the applicant, the public input received and the above analysis staff recommends approval of the requested Planned Area Development Overlay for the M7 Mixed Use Development, subject to conditions of approval.

## **REASONS FOR APPROVAL:**

1. The project meets the General Plan Projected Land Use of "Mixed Use" integrating both commercial and residential uses, and the Projected Residential Density of "High Density" greater than 25 dwelling units per acre.
2. The proposed project meets the approval criteria for a Planned Area Development Overlay, creating a unique mixed-use development that cannot rely on traditional standards. This process was specifically created to allow for greater flexibility, related to increased heights and parking reductions.
3. The proposed building heights generally conform to the Downtown Building Heights concept study for "Urban Center" located east of the existing alley.
4. The building fronting Mill Avenue generally conforms to the Downtown Building Heights concept study for "Mill Avenue Corridor" with height step-backs at approximately twenty feet and the remaining height mass at less than 50% of the street frontage.
5. The parking reductions provide evidence through a professional analysis of shared uses and peak demands for hotel, conference space, commercial, and a dedicated source of residential parking.

**CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL:**

EACH NUMBERED ITEM IS A CONDITION OF APPROVAL. THE DECISION-MAKING BODY MAY MODIFY, DELETE OR ADD TO THESE CONDITIONS.

1. The property owner(s) shall sign a waiver of rights and remedies pursuant to A.R.S. §12-1134, releasing the City from any potential claims under Arizona's Private Property Rights Protection Act, which shall be submitted to the Development Services Department no later than thirty (30) calendar days after the date of approval, or the approval shall be null and void.
2. A building permit shall be obtained on or before April 3, 2010 or the property may revert to the previous zoning designation, subject to a formal public hearing.
3. The Planned Area Development Overlay shall be put into proper engineered format with appropriate signature blanks and kept on file with the City of Tempe's Development Services Department prior to issuance of building permits.
4. Maximum building height extending three hundred twenty feet from the eastern most property line, including all mechanical, screening devices and other structures on the building, shall be 306'-0".
5. Maximum building height along the Mill Avenue frontage, extending twenty feet from the western property line, shall be 53'-0". The maximum building height for the remaining one hundred forty-five feet from the western property line, including all mechanical, screening devices and other structures on the building, shall be 195'-0".
6. Maximum encroachment for structures located underground along 7<sup>th</sup> Street and Myrtle Avenue shall be 30'-0" from right-of-way or to the existing curb line, whichever is greater. Subject to review and approval by the Public Works Department Manager or designee.

## CODE/ORDINANCE REQUIREMENTS:

THE BULLETED ITEMS REFER TO EXISTING CODE OR ORDINANCES THAT PLANNING STAFF OBSERVES ARE PERTINENT TO THIS CASE. THE BULLET ITEMS ARE INCLUDED TO ALERT THE DESIGN TEAM AND ASSIST IN OBTAINING A BUILDING PERMIT AND ARE NOT AN EXHAUSTIVE LIST.

- Specific requirements of the Zoning and Development Code are not listed as a condition of approval, but will apply to any application. To avoid unnecessary review time, and reduce the potential for multiple plan check submittals, it is necessary that the applicant be familiar with the Zoning and Development Code (ZDC), which can be accessed through [www.tempe.gov/zoning](http://www.tempe.gov/zoning), or purchased at Development Services.
- **BUILDING HEIGHT:** Measure height of buildings from top of curb along front of property (as defined by Zoning and Development Code).

## HISTORY & FACTS:

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| August 17, 2004    | Redevelopment Review Commission approved Mill-Seven Building for design review of building elevations, site plan and landscape plan located at 701 South Mill Avenue. APPROVAL EXPIRED.  |
| September 30, 2004 | City Council approved the request for Mill-Seven Building (SIP-2003.105) for a site plan for a new two-story retail/restaurant building consisting of 19,279 s.f. on 0.36 net including three variances and three use permits. APPROVAL EXPIRED. |
| December 4, 2007   | Applicant's for M7 Mixed-Use Development attended the Sunset-Riverside Neighborhood Meeting and presented their proposal.  |
| December 10, 2007  | Applicant's for M7 Mixed-Use Development attended the Downtown Tempe Community's Hot Team meeting and presented their proposal.  |
| January 9, 2008    | Neighborhood Meeting held by the applicant for the M7 Mixed-Use Development at Hatton Hall, located at 34 E. 7 <sup>th</sup> Street starting at 6 p.m.   |
| January 22, 2008   | The FAA issued a determination of no hazard for air navigation for this project at a height of 306'-0".  |
| March 4, 2008      | Presentation scheduled with the Downtown Tempe Community organization  |

## ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT CODE REFERENCE:

Section 6-305, Planned Area Development (PAD) Overlay districts

ORDINANCE NO. 2008.12

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TEMPE,  
ARIZONA, AMENDING THE CITY OF TEMPE ZONING MAP, PURSUANT TO  
THE PROVISIONS OF ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT CODE PART 2,  
CHAPTER 1, SECTION 2-106 AND 2-107, RELATING TO THE LOCATION  
AND BOUNDARIES OF DISTRICTS.

\*\*\*\*\*

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TEMPE, ARIZONA, as follows:

**Section 1.** That the City of Tempe Zoning Map is hereby amended, pursuant to the provisions of Zoning and Development Code, Part 2, Chapter 1, Section 2-106 and 2-107, by removing the below described property from the CC, City Center District within the Transportation Overlay District and designating it as CC(PAD), City Center District with a Planned Area Development Overlay and within the Transportation Overlay District on 1.73 acres.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

*See Attachment A*

TOTAL AREA IS 1.73 GROSS ACRES.

**Section 2.** Further, those conditions of approval imposed by the City Council as part of **Case PAD07007** are hereby expressly incorporated into and adopted as part of this ordinance by this reference.

**Section 3.** Pursuant to City Charter, Section 2.12, ordinances are effective thirty (30) days after adoption.

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TEMPE, ARIZONA, this \_\_\_\_\_  
day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2008.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Attorney



**WHEN RECORDED RETURN TO:**

City of Tempe  
Development Services Department  
31 E. 5<sup>th</sup> Street  
Tempe, AZ. 85281

**WAIVER OF RIGHTS AND REMEDIES  
UNDER A.R.S. §12-1134**

This Waiver of Rights and Remedies under A.R.S. § 12-1134 (Waiver) is made in favor of the City of Tempe (City) by \_\_\_\_\_ (Owner/s).

Owner acknowledges that A.R.S. § 12-1134 provides that in some cases a city must pay just compensation to a land owner if the city approves a land use law that reduces the fair market value of the owner's property (Private Property Rights Protection Act).

Owner further acknowledges that the Private Property Rights Protection Act authorizes a private property owner to enter an agreement waiving any claim for diminution in value of the property in connection with any action requested by the property owner.

Owner has submitted Application No. **PL060681** to the City requesting that the City approve the following:

\_\_\_\_\_ GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT  
\_\_\_\_\_ ZONING MAP AMENDMENT  
\_\_\_\_\_ **X** PAD OVERLAY  
\_\_\_\_\_ HISTORIC PRESERVATION DESIGNATION/OVERLAY  
\_\_\_\_\_ USE PERMIT  
\_\_\_\_\_ VARIANCE  
\_\_\_\_\_ **X** DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW  
\_\_\_\_\_ SUBDIVISION PLAT/CONDOMINIUM PLAT  
\_\_\_\_\_ OTHER \_\_\_\_\_  
(Identify Action Requested))

for development of the following real property (Property):

Parcel No. 132-27-140; 132-27-142A; 132-27-139; 132-27-138; 132-27-137; 132-27-136; and 132-27-135.

Property Addresses: 701-715 South Mill Avenue; 01-27 East 7<sup>th</sup> Street

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Legal Description)

By signing below, Owner voluntarily waives any right to claim compensation for diminution in Property value under A.R.S. §12-1134 that may now or in the future exist if the City approves the above-referenced Application, including any conditions, stipulations and/or modifications imposed as a condition of approval.

This Waiver shall run with the land and shall be binding upon all present and future owners having any interest in the Property.

This Waiver shall be recorded with the Maricopa County Recorder's Office.

Owner warrants and represents that Owner is the fee title owner of the Property, and that no other person has an ownership interest in the Property.

Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2008.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature of Owner)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Printed Name)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature of Owner)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Printed Name)

State of Arizona                    )  
  ) ss  
County of \_\_\_\_\_ )

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2008, by


\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature of Notary)

(Notary Stamp)

# M7 MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT

PL060681





7TH & MILL (PL060681)

**Letter of Intent – PAD Narrative  
M7 – Mixed Use Development  
7<sup>th</sup> Street and Mill, Tempe**

**Request:**

Tempe Mill, L.L.C. and Paragon Sanchez Development LLC (the Applicants) are proposing a Preliminary Plan of Development (the PAD) to redevelop approximately 1.73 gross acres located at the southeast corner of 7<sup>th</sup> Street and Mill Avenue in Tempe (the Site). Specifically, the Site includes the immediate southeast corner of 7<sup>th</sup> Street and Mill Avenue and the five adjacent parcels along 7<sup>th</sup> Street from Mill Avenue to Myrtle Avenue. The formal address is 701 S. Mill Avenue. The Applicants have owned the corner parcel for over 10 years and are committed to the redevelopment of this block.

The Site presents a prime opportunity for redevelopment in downtown Tempe. The Site currently consists of several one story commercial buildings and a two-story apartment building. Given its strategic location in the downtown area—south of the Brickyard and Orchidhouse Residential Lofts, east of the Centerpoint retail and office development and west of the proposed University Square mixed-use office, residential and retail development—the Applicants believe the Site is inconsistent with existing and approved urban development which surrounds the significantly underutilized subject Site.

Accordingly, the Site presents a prime opportunity for redevelopment in downtown Tempe. The Applicants intend to create a thoughtfully integrated mixed-use project that will be consistent with the City of Tempe urban planning model that has emerged since the turn of the century. Importantly, the Applicants intend to create a destination mixed-use project at the Site which will—through the establishment of various destination characteristics—enhance the urban experience for both on-site and off-site Tempe residents and visitors.

The establishment of destination characteristics starts with the sense of design and architecture of the project. Through the design we are integrating a variety of uses that will create a sense of place and energy for the Site. The design draws together uses including a 4-star hotel, a stand-alone small group conference center, urban residences, retail, and restaurants with the single goal of creating a lively urban environment. Taking the energy inherent in each of these uses, the design incorporates the diversity of the uses and celebrates the intersection of overlapping uses.

In order to commence the realization of our vision for the Site, the Applicants are submitting a PAD Application. The Applicants intend to file the associated Development Plan Review which includes the approval on the architectural elements of the project shortly after the PAD.

### **Planning Context:**

The General Plan 2030 classifies the Site as Mixed Use. According to the General Plan 2030, the Mixed Use Designation is designed to accommodate a mixture of residential and commercial uses. The Mixed Use category encourages creatively designed developments that create a living environment, reflective of a "village" concept, in which there is an opportunity to live, work, and recreate in the same development.

The vision of the Applicants as expressed in the PAD is consistent with the mixture of uses envisioned by the General Plan. This development will be a mixture of hospitality, retail, conference, and residential living. The proposed mixed-use program is designed to be inclusive of live/work/recreation and entertainment activities within a master-planned development.

The residential aspect of the project will provide for the development of 370 residential units, offering a range of living accommodations and prices. The residential component of the development is specifically integrated into the whole hospitality and retail program so the residents and their guests will have complete access to all hotel amenities and services.

The commercial aspect of the project will be anchored by a nationally-flagged 4-star full service hotel and a self-contained small group conference center and will feature retail and food and beverage uses at the streetscape level. The small group conference center is designed to integrate with both on- and off-site accommodations, food and beverage venues, and entertainment facilities within the downtown Tempe market area. The conference center will be unique to the greater Phoenix area in that it will focus on the unmet demand of the small group market, including, an approximate 113,022 gross square foot conference center which will include approximately 51,801 square feet of meeting/ballroom space.

The stand-alone conference center designed for this project will be the largest meeting facility within the City of Tempe, providing more than double the amount of conference space than any one existing hotel in the City. The table below compares this project to the five existing

hotels with conference capability in Tempe. It will provide the City with increased opportunity to capture small group market conferences and other business and assembly opportunities which are now lost to Phoenix or Scottsdale. As the City has experienced with sporting events, the direct dollars spent by attendees at these functions and their indirect dollar benefits will increase sales tax generation.

**Table 1**

| Hotel                          | No. of Ballrooms | Ballroom Size (sq ft)    | Total Meeting/Ballroom Space (only room sq ft) | Total Meeting/Ballroom Space (includes indoor, pre-function, and outdoor sq ft) |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--|---|
| <b>M7</b>                      | <b>2</b>         | <b>13,470<br/>11,232</b> | <b>51,801</b>                                  | <b>90,275<sup>1</sup></b>   |
| Mission Palms                  | 1                | 9,384                    | 23,180   | 30,000  |
| The Buttes                     | 2                | 9,000<br>8,700           | 24,231   | 38,181  |
| Fiesta Inn                     | 1                | 7,560                    | 24,294   | 52,200 <sup>2</sup>   |
| Sheraton Phoenix Airport Hotel | 1                | 3,450                    | 9,181  | No data   |
| Embassy Suites                 | 1                | 4,000                    | 9,900  | No data   |

<sup>1</sup> Includes the approximate 10,200 sq ft hotel pool patio

<sup>2</sup> Includes 14,200 sq ft for the hotel pool patio and Millagros restaurant patios

The project will provide approximately 39,067 square feet of retail, restaurant, and bar space at the streetscape level. This space is designed to activate the project's frontage along Mill Avenue and 7<sup>th</sup> Street, specifically integrating the pedestrian experience with the vibrancy and energy of Tempe's colorful 'main street'. Retail and food and beverage uses will be selected to provide variety and convenience to both the Site's resident and visitor populations. Thoughtful consideration has been given to ensuring the mixtures of uses within the Site integrate and complement each other to create the sense of energy and vibrancy we are trying to achieve.

This Site is currently zoned City Center (CC). The Applicants are not rezoning the Site. Rather, as with other approved projects within the downtown, a PAD is necessary for the height allowance which will make the proposed project economically feasible in the Tempe market. The CC District permits a wide variety of uses. The proposed uses for the Site are all permitted by right by the CC District. According to the Zoning Ordinance, "the CC district fosters employment and livability in Tempe's city center by providing *retail, offices, moderate- and high-*

*density residential uses, entertainment, civic uses, and cultural exchange in a mixed-use environment that supports the public investment in transit and other public facilities and services.* This district may also be considered mixed-use when the design provides a mix of uses for the purposes of implementing the General Plan Land Use.” This Application is consistent with the CC Zoning District.

### **Site Area:**

The Site consists of approximately 1.73 gross acres. A full metes and bounds legal description is included as part of this PAD Application.

### **Area Context:**

As mentioned, the Site is located at the southeast corner of 7<sup>th</sup> Street and Mill Avenue. 7<sup>th</sup> Street is the northern boundary of the Site. The Applicants have designed the Site so that 7<sup>th</sup> Street becomes the public face. The hotel entry and valet point are on 7<sup>th</sup> Street. The Brickyard commercial, office, and educational space and the eight-story Orchidhouse residential lofts are to the North of the Site. West of the Site is the Centerpoint commercial office development. East of the site is the University Square mixed use development which currently has planned approximately 1.5 million square feet of office, commercial, hotel and residential space. The Applicants envision that this Site will contribute to the mixed use developments in downtown Tempe as well as enhance the hotel, retail and residential opportunities in Tempe.

### **Site Plan/Design:**

The Applicants propose an approximate 1.2 million square foot mixed use development, of which 771,729 square feet is habitable space and 485,965 square feet is parking, storage, service and mechanical space. The Site will contain approximately 165,245 square feet of hotel space, 113,022 square feet of conference center space, 39,067 square feet of retail/restaurant/bar space, and 454,395 square feet of residential living space.

Frequently, urban projects of this scope pose conditions that work against a humane, experientially rich design: there is the potential for the vertical circulation of towers to cannibalize valuable ground-floor space and retail frontage along site facing streets. The key to a successful urban project is its ability to integrate the building mass into the vibrant and energized pedestrian realm and create its own context. Without this integration, the project can become isolated.



Therefore our site and design solution to address the Site's characteristics and provide a meaningful urban human experience lies in several critical design components.

The first design element contains, wherever possible, development program components which do not contribute to the human-scale experience—the vibrancy and excitement of the street life along Mill Avenue and 7<sup>th</sup> Street. The hotel lobby has been strategically located along 7<sup>th</sup> Street to allow the maximum amount of Mill Avenue Street frontage to be used for retail or restaurant uses. This lobby has been pulled east of the intersection of Mill Avenue and 7<sup>th</sup> Street to allow a strong retail presence at the project's prime corner. Further, the hotel lobby will be visible off 7<sup>th</sup> Street to adequately allow the lobby activity to engage the streetscape without compromising the amount of energized retail and restaurant uses along the street frontage.

The second element is the creation of a 'deep' sidewalk environment. Rather than pushing the building envelope to the property line along 7th Street, the design creates relief along the project's longest front and face. We have also added visual and experiential interest by undulating the storefront. These design elements not only create additional visibility of tenants from Mill Avenue looking east toward Myrtle, but also create a wider pedestrian space reinforcing the integration of the project into the urban context.

The third design element is the creation of an amenity from what would otherwise be simply an ugly necessity. This includes the design of a 'seamless' ground-scape from the storefront to the street with the use of a hard-scape design that integrates sidewalk and hotel drop-off. A curbless design will visually unify sidewalk and drop-off allowing hotel traffic to flow in and out seamlessly at peak times while allowing the vehicular area to seem part of a large public plaza at off-peak times. Bollards will separate pedestrians from vehicles. Also, this includes the decision to place the parking underground in an effort not to have parking design the form. Above-ground parking is less expensive and consequently more desirable than below-grade parking. In some projects, this constraint results in large above-grade structures that push up from street level and create a 'base' or 'podium' upon which the project is developed. The result of the podium look often results in a blank wall facing the pedestrian level and a detachment from the street activity. By placing all our parking below grade, except for a few on-street parking spaces, this allows the retail and conference center to occupy the lower floors which will create a vibrant connection to both Mill Avenue and 7<sup>th</sup> Street.

The fourth design element is an attempt to separate pedestrian and vehicular traffic to the greatest degree possible. This is important given the Site's street orientation adjoining three roads and the volume and frequency of vehicles accessing the parking garage. To achieve this separation and create a continuous sidewalk around the project, the design includes the following elements. There will be no vehicular access directly onto Mill Avenue. The ramps for the hotel parking will be parallel to 7<sup>th</sup> Street allowing the cars to arrive from and depart to the lower parking level directly into the hotel drop-off area without crossing the sidewalk located between the ramp and building. This design allows pedestrians to stroll the entire length of Mill Avenue and 7th Street without worrying about oncoming vehicle traffic. To further mitigate vehicular traffic impacts, the residential parking access will occur on Myrtle Avenue, the nonresidential customer access will occur at the valet area on 7<sup>th</sup> Street, and the service access will occur in the alley south of the project.

The fifth design element integrates the architecture of the towers with the architecture of the 'base'. This translates to the tower form coming straight down from above to the ground level, and the base being 'carved' to create relief that supports the appearance of the towers as three individual buildings rather than a massive box upon which the towers sit.

The project is a contemporary interpretation of Mill Avenue's rich tradition of eclectic architecture tied together with a common element; brick. There has never been a project of this size proposed along Mill Avenue and we are taking this responsibility quite seriously in our design. While maintaining a decidedly modern approach to the overall design, we are very aware of the history of Mill Avenue and have tried to respond to that history through the design and architecture. The architectural vision is to integrate the lower levels, and the Mill Avenue frontage into a highly-articulated pedestrian environment supported by architectural detailing that resonates with Mill Avenue. As the project climbs skyward and away from Mill Avenue, the aesthetic design expands and transforms into a decidedly more modern one.

### **Building Height:**

The CC District allows 50 feet in height, by right. However, the guidelines in the Downtown / Mill Avenue District Community Design Principles determine appropriate height based on a site's location. Generally, the guideline supports lower heights at the street front and higher height if stepped-back or mid-block within the community core.

The M7 Site falls within the Mill Avenue Corridor and the Urban Center. The approximate 125-foot depth of frontage along Mill Avenue is within the Mill Avenue Corridor. The Mill Avenue Corridor guideline suggests a maximum height of 50 feet at the property line along Mill Avenue, with a stepped height of 75 feet at the 15-foot setback and a maximum height of 150 feet at the 25-foot setback from Mill Avenue. The Urban Center suggests a maximum height of 300 feet, including at the property line.

The proposed design with our PAD application is for a maximum height of 52 feet along the property line adjoining Mill Avenue. The building will then step back a minimum of 25 feet to the hotel tower at 195 feet in height. The two residential towers within the Urban Center portion of the Site will have a maximum height of 306 feet to the top of the parapet and elevator tower.

The requested conference center building height within the Mill Avenue Corridor is consistent with the City's Community Design Guidelines for this particular location for many reasons. The landscape pedestrian sidewalk along Mill Avenue widens significantly starting at the south end of the Site which will minimize the perception of the height of the M7 conference center. The proposed height is in direct relationship to the proposed uses at M7. The retail ground floor along Mill Avenue will be 20 feet in height consistent with other retail uses along Mill Avenue. Also, this floor plate height has a technical purpose related to the clearance for loading bays located at the alley to service the building. The respective high floor plates for the two levels of the conference center located above the retail are critical to the conference center design to accommodate floor truss, lighting, display, and other conference-related material. Conference centers with high ceiling clearances provide the maximum opportunity to accommodate all types of bookings. The current highest ballroom finished height in Tempe is 25 feet at the Tempe-Fiesta Resort Conference Center.

The requested hotel building height within the Mill Avenue Corridor is consistent with the City's Community Design Guidelines. The lower building mass of the conference center transitioning immediately to a higher building mass of the hotel is consistent with the developments at the Mill Avenue and 7<sup>th</sup> Street intersection. The hotels' height at 195 feet will make a smoother transition between the 52-foot high structure to the west and the 306-foot high structure to the east. The Site has a mid-block location between two Urban Core designations at Ash Street and College Street. The hotel height allows for greater view sheds and separation to future Urban Core projects to the south while maintaining the necessary room count at 240. The portion of

the hotel tower within the Mill Avenue Corridor is small. The hotel tower footprint occupies less than half of the area within this Corridor on the Site. Also, the hotel lies only partially within the Mill Avenue Corridor. The east half of the hotel tower sits within the 300-foot high Urban Core. The hotel height will have a positive impact to the area. It transfers height to a small area of the property within the hotel tower which allows almost half of the Site to remain at a three-story height.

The two residential tower heights at 306 feet to the top of the parapet and elevator tower are consistent with the City's Community Design Guidelines. As with the hotel tower, the tower footprints afford a large area of the Site to remain at a low three-story height. As with other nearby projects over 300 feet, the actual top of the roof is less than 300 feet in height. The additional height reflects the height of the elevator tower and an undulating parapet design.

### **Building Setback:**

The CC District allows a setback of 0 feet, by right. The PAD Application proposes varying the street level setbacks for the ground-level retail up to a maximum of 20 feet along 7<sup>th</sup> Street and at corners of the intersection. This additional setback along with the approximate 30-foot wide area between the property line and curb will allow for an engaged street setting to accommodate the hotel valet driveway into the underground parking garage, appropriate separation between vehicles and pedestrians, outdoor dining opportunities, and pedestrian amenities. Furthermore, the hotel tower will step back from Mill Avenue approximately 20 feet above the conference center to keep in character with the other shops along Mill Avenue.

### **Landscape Design:**

As mentioned above, this Site will meet the street unlike most projects of its scale. The project team has tried to create an activated pedestrian realm by designing, to the greatest extent possible, a continuous commercial experience at the street edge.

We have attempted to activate the pedestrian realm through the balancing of hard-scape elements and retail storefronts with landscape. Although the project exists above a garage, great lengths will be taken to plant large trees along the street edge. Further, the

gardens at the upper levels will cascade down the 7<sup>th</sup> street façade knitting the street environment vertically into the upper levels.

We have taken specific care to step the buildings both in plan and in section to allow the buildings to meet the street rather than simply be standing atop a podium. There are two residential amenity areas; one on the rooftop of each residential tower. The hotel amenity deck is on the podium level south of the hotel tower overlooking Mill Avenue. The rooftop of the main ballroom space is covered with a green roof. Multiple environments within the hotel and residential pool and amenity areas are surrounded by lush landscaping. The mechanical equipment is recessed atop the service space for the main ballroom and, as such, is completely screened from view of both the surrounding property and the residential and hotel towers and amenity areas. Along the west face of the project the height of the building has been stepped back to allow the hotel amenity and pool area to overlook Mill Avenue. Around the corner along Seventh Street, a series of outdoor terraces on the second and third floor activate the façade and the street-edge of the project below the hotel tower.

Residential amenities found in the towers flanking the deck include a business center, state-of-the-art media center and theater, fitness and spa treatment rooms and a clubhouse with gourmet kitchen for entertaining.

### **Site Circulation/Parking:**

As mentioned above, the hotel will have an entrance on 7<sup>th</sup> Street via parallel ramps located along the face of the project. The hotel ramps are designed to allow hotel related traffic to access the parking garage directly without interfering with pedestrian traffic. The residential parking garage will be accessed from Myrtle Avenue. We have purposely separated the access points for the various uses to try to avoid potential vehicle and pedestrian conflicts.

In an effort to meet our parking requirement and accommodate our future guests, residents, visitors and clients, the Site will have a five (5) story parking garage located all below grade. In addition, there will be approximately 18 on-street parking spaces adjoining the Site. The project will provide approximately 1,129 total parking spaces. Based on the parking and traffic study prepared by Walker and Associates and the proposed uses with our PAD, the project will provide an estimated 34% shared parking reduction from the required parking spaces calculated for each separate use under the allowable TOD criteria. Depending on the specific retail uses (bar, restaurant,

entertainment); this shared parking reduction percentage may vary. However, the total number of provided parking spaces will meet or exceed the peak shared parking demand. We will continue to work with City staff on refining the parking for this project.

### **Conclusion:**

The development team for this project includes Paragon Development and RSP Architects. Paragon Development has extensive experience building and operating large mixed use developments in the United States and Canada. Paragon recently developed and opened the River Cree Resort & Casino, in Edmonton, Alberta. Completed and opened two months ahead of schedule, the \$178 million property has become the market's largest destination casino / hotel / entertainment complex. The property includes a 9-story, 255 room full-service Marriott hotel, 13,500+ square feet of meeting and convention space, an entertainment lounge / nightclub, a sports complex, three restaurants and an approximately 62,600+ square foot casino.

The key members of the architectural team have been working in Tempe for over 20 years. They have extensive experience in developing urban environments and a specific interest in the continuity of the urban experience from past, through the present and into the future. The entire development team is committed to exceeding your expectations.

The Applicants have been through a detailed site analysis to ensure that this project will become a destination for the City of Tempe and the Valley. M7 starts with a commitment to hospitality, with particular focus on conference center amenities, which will provide the energy and vitality for this project. This is not a residential project with a hotel. Rather it is a truly integrated, retail, hotel and residential experience that uses design and architecture to engage the City and enhance the pedestrian experience. We believe this project provides superior amenities to the future residents, guests and visitors to downtown Tempe, while maintaining the relationships with the adjoining properties. We believe this Site will enhance the urban development experience that Tempe is creating in downtown. We look forward to discussing this proposal with you in the near future.

We respectfully ask for your support.



WALKER PARKING CONSULTANTS  
17049 El Camino Real, Suite 202  
Houston, TX 77058

Voice: 281.280.0068  
Fax: 281.280.0373  
[www.walkerparking.com](http://www.walkerparking.com)

December 7, 2007

Mr. John Cahill  
Paragon Development  
770 East Warm Springs  
Suite 120  
Las Vegas, NV 89119

Re: *7<sup>th</sup> and Mill Development*  
*Shared Parking Analysis*

Dear Mr. Rumpelstin:

Please find our attached updated findings regarding the Shared Parking Analysis performed for the 7<sup>th</sup> and Mill development. These findings are based on the data supplied by RSP Architects on December 7, 2007. Any further changes in programming or site plans may alter our findings regarding the number of parking spaces required to accommodate demand at the development.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to Paragon Development. If you need further assistance please call.

Sincerely,

WALKER PARKING CONSULTANTS

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Chad Snyder", is written over the printed name.

Chad Snyder  
Project Manager

Enclosure  
cc: Stephanie Bruckner, Paragon



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                                       |    |
|---------------------------------------|----|
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....               | ii |
| INTRODUCTION .....                    | 1  |
| Project Understanding .....           | 1  |
| Limiting Conditions .....             | 2  |
| SHARED PARKING ANALYSIS .....         | 3  |
| Methodology .....                     | 3  |
| Base Parking Demand Ratios.....       | 4  |
| Unshared Parking Demand .....         | 5  |
| Shared Parking Demand .....           | 5  |
| CURRENT CODE REQUIREMENTS .....       | 9  |
| City of Tempe Code Requirements ..... | 9  |
| RECOMMENDATION .....                  | 10 |

## LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Table 1: Program Data .....                                       | 1  |
| Table 2: Base Parking Demand Ratios .....                         | 4  |
| Table 3: Maximum Unshared Parking Demand.....                     | 5  |
| Table 4: Adjustments For Captive Factors and Driving Ratios ..... | 6  |
| Table 5: Peak Weekday Shared Parking Demand .....                 | 7  |
| Table 6: Peak Weekend Shared Parking Demand .....                 | 7  |
| Table 7: Current Code Requirements .....                          | 9  |
| Table 8: Recommended Shared Use Parking Requirement.....          | 10 |
| Figure 1: Weekday Parking Demand .....                            | 8  |
| Figure 2: Weekend Parking Demand .....                            | 8  |

## APPENDIX A – SCOPE OF SERVICES

## APPENDIX B – PEAK HOUR PARKING DEMANDS



## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Walker Parking Consultants (Walker) has been engaged by our client, Paragon Development, to revise the Shared Parking Analysis, previously developed by Walker Parking Consultants on February 20, 2007, for the 7<sup>th</sup> and Mill Project in Tempe, Arizona. The objectives of this study are to determine the number of parking spaces required to satisfy the demand of the site and to identify potential reductions to supply due to the mix of uses on the site. The proposed development will contain multi-family residences, an up-scale hotel, conference center, retail and other ancillary uses.

## FINDINGS

Walker estimates the total number of spaces required for the project under the City of Tempe Code requirements and Transportation Overlay District (TOD) reductions to be 1,540 spaces. This represents the total before the shared parking model is applied by the City. Since the City's shared parking model is not made available to the public, the unshared number of 1,540 spaces will be used for comparison purposes. Being that the project will include several different use types, Walker anticipates that there will be some potential to reduce parking needs through the use of shared parking.

Driving ratios, from the use of traditional mass transit and light rail, have been adjusted from information received from the City of Tempe Public Works Department and our historical data. The convention center is expected to be the primary convention space for downtown Tempe. Given that, it is anticipated that patrons visiting the convention center will come from the surrounding hotels, mass transit, light rail, as well as the proposed hotel in this project. The proximity of the site to Arizona State University campus also allows for an adjustment in driving ratios given the mobility of students that would utilize some of the uses in the development. In addition, we expect that some of the demand for the convention center and retail will be generated by guests whom are already parking on site, and therefore generate a "captive" market. The model assumes that all employees for the hotel, retail and health center will not be allowed to park on site. These users will be required to use the surrounding City-operated parking facilities.

Based upon our shared parking model, Walker anticipates that the peak parking for the planned mix of land uses will be 1,023 spaces on a weekend. Our recommended shared-use minimum represents a 34% reduction to the City of Tempe Code requirements.

---

### Summary Table: Recommended Shared Use Parking Requirement

---

|                    |       |
|--------------------|-------|
| City of Tempe      | 1,540 |
| Walker Recommended | 1,023 |
| % Reduction        | 34%   |

Source: Walker Parking Consultants, September 2007



DECEMBER 7, 2007

25-1391.03

## INTRODUCTION

### PROJECT UNDERSTANDING

Walker Parking Consultants (Walker) has been retained by Paragon Development to update the Shared Parking Analysis, originally developed on February 20, 2007, for the proposed mixed-use development at Tempe, Arizona. The development is located at the southeast corner of Mill and 7th Street, encompassing the northern half of the block. The project will include multi-family residences, an up-scale hotel, conference center and retail.

The objective of this engagement is to determine the number of parking spaces required to satisfy demand at the development and to identify potential reductions to supply due to the mix of land uses at the site.

The development will include 370 residential units, 240 hotel rooms, 78,178 GSF of conference space and 39,067 GSF of retail space. If a portion of the retail programmed space turns out to be restaurant or bar space after the final scheme is developed, the number of parking spaces required could be significantly greater given the increase in parking requirements for restaurant space. The project falls within the Transportation Overlay District (TOD), therefore some reductions are allowed for the parking space calculations. This program is based upon the latest number provided by RSP Architects on December 7, 2007.

Table 1: Program Data

|                                    |             |                   |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| <b>Residential</b>                 |             |                   |
| 1 Bdr Units                        | 74 Units    |                   |
| 2 Bdr Units                        | 222 Units   |                   |
| 3 Bdr Units                        | 37 Units    |                   |
| 4 Bdr Units                        | 37 Units    |                   |
| Total                              | 370 Units   |                   |
| <b>Hotel Program</b>               |             |                   |
| Keys                               | 240 Rooms   |                   |
| Conference Center                  |             |                   |
| Meeting/Banquet                    | 78,178 GSF  |                   |
| B.O.H.                             | 34,844 GSF  | (1)               |
| Total                              | 113,022 GSF |                   |
| <b>Retail Total with Mezzanine</b> |             | <b>39,067 GSF</b> |

**Legend:**

- (1) Use does not generate parking demand because it is not accessible to the general public.



The operational aspects for parking have not been completely defined at this point in the development process. It is understood that residents will have segregated reserved parking areas. The hotel parking will be operated by a valet service, and retail/guest parking will be self-park. It is not known if valet service will be provided to the retail and guest parking components. It is also intended that all employees of this development park off-site in a city-operated facility or utilize mass transit.

### LIMITING CONDITIONS

Walker's assessment of parking needs for the site is based upon the most recent program data provided by the architect. Changes to the planned development program will have an impact on both the code requirements for the site and Walker's assessment of shared use demand. Walker is not responsible for any changes made to the development program after our parking analysis is finalized.

## SHARED PARKING ANALYSIS

### METHODOLOGY

Walker Parking Consultants was recently commissioned by the Urban Land Institute (ULI) and the International Council of Shopping Centers (ICSC) to lead a team of parking and transportation planning experts to update the landmark publication, *Shared Parking*. The update was published in January 2005. The shared parking analysis herein reflects both the significant research that has taken place in the ULI/ICSC effort, as well as the experience of Walker Parking Consultants in performing shared parking analyses over the past two decades.

Shared parking is defined as parking spaces that can be used to serve two or more individual land uses without conflict or encroachment. One of the fundamental principles of downtown planning from the earliest days of the automobile has always been to share parking resources rather than to have each use or building have its own parking. The resurgence of many central cities resulting from the addition of vibrant office, residential, retail, and entertainment developments continues to rely heavily on shared parking for economic viability. In addition, mixed-use projects in many different settings have benefited from shared parking. There are numerous benefits of shared parking to a community at large, not the least of which is the environmental benefit of significantly reducing the square feet of parking provided to serve commercial development.

The ability to share parking spaces is the result of two conditions:

- Variations in the accumulation of vehicles by hour, by day or by season at the individual land uses. For example, office buildings require parking spaces during daytime hours on weekdays, while restaurants and entertainment venues have peak parking needs during the evening and weekends.
- Relationships among the land uses that result in visiting multiple land uses on the same auto trip. Certain developments achieve much greater interaction between uses than others do. When such synergy exists, a highly successful project may have lower parking demands and trip generation rates than if the uses were built separately and achieved more typical patronage levels when standing alone. For example, a restaurant in the development may have much greater noontime patronage than it would otherwise have, simply because it is located within walking distance of an office building. Therefore, it may have more customers per day while still having a lower noontime parking demand, due to the "captive market" effects, than a freestanding, everyone-must-drive restaurant. When these adjustments are applied to the base ratios and used with the client-provided program data the peak parking demand is the resultant.

Base parking demand ratios have been developed by land use category for both a typical weekday and a Saturday. These ratios are adjusted by factors including driving ratio and a non-captive factor. Driving ratio reduce the overall parking demand for patrons arriving via traditional mass transit, light rail, walking or riding a bike. The non-captive factor reduces the overall parking demand by accounting for user groups that are already on site for other land uses (such as residents using the retail component). The



DECEMBER 7, 2007

25-1391.03

model is designed to project the parking needs of a mixed-use development from 6:00 a.m. to 12:00 midnight on a typical weekday and a Saturday for every month of the year.

## BASE PARKING DEMAND RATIOS

Base parking demand ratios were used to determine the parking requirements for the development as if each component were a free-standing development "in a cornfield" where everyone would drive and park. For want of a better term, this might be called the "unshared" parking demand. Below are the base ratios currently recommended by Walker Parking Consultants for the proposed development.

Table 2: Base Parking Demand Ratios

| Recommended Parking Ratios<br>Spaces required per unit land use |         |          |         |          |          |        |         |         |
|---|---------|----------|---------|----------|----------|--------|---------|---------|
| Land Use  | Weekday |          | Weekend |          | Unit     | Source | Total   |         |
|   | Visitor | Employee | Visitor | Employee |          |        | Weekday | Weekend |
| General Retail  | 2.90    | 0.70     | 3.20    | 0.80     | /ksf GLA | 1      | 3.60    | 4.00    |
| Convention Center   | 5.50    | 0.50     | 5.50    | 0.50     | /ksf GLA | 3      | 6.00    | 6.00    |
| Hotel-Business  | 1.00    | 0.25     | 0.90    | 0.18     | /room    | 2,5    | 1.25    | 1.08    |
| Residential Shared, Rental                                      | 0.15    | 1.5      | 0.15    | 1.5      | /unit    | 2,3    | 1.65    | 1.65    |

### Sources

1. *Parking Requirements for Shopping Centers*, Second Edition. Washington DC: ULI-The Urban Land Institute, 1999
2. *Parking Generation*, Third Edition. Washington DC: Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2004
3. Data collected by Team Members
4. John W. Dorsett, "Parking Requirements for Health Clubs" *The Parking Professional* April 2004
5. Gerald Salzman, "Hotel Parking: How Much Is Enough?" *Urban Land*, January 1988.
6. Walker Parking Consultants

Source: Walker Parking Consultants Shared Parking Model, September 2007



### UNSHARED PARKING DEMAND

If each of these land uses required a separate pool of parking spaces, the peak unshared parking demand would be 1,569 spaces. Table 3 details the "unshared" parking demand on a weekday and a Saturday.

Table 3: Maximum Unshared Parking Demand

|                                   | Quantity | Weekdays |              | Weekends |              |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------|----------|--------------|
|                                   |          | Unit     | Unadj Pkg Sp | Units    | Unadj Pkg Sp |
| General Retail                    | 39,067   | /ksf GLA | 113          | /ksf GLA | 125          |
| Employee                          |          |          | 27           |          | 31           |
| Convention Center                 | 78,178   | /ksf GLA | 430          | /ksf GLA | 430          |
| Employee                          |          |          | 39           |          | 39           |
| Hotel-Business                    | 240      | /room    | 240          | /room    | 216          |
| Hotel Employee                    | 240      | /room    | 60           | /room    | 43           |
| Residential Guest                 | 370      | /unit    | 56           | /unit    | 56           |
| Residential Reserved              | 370      | /unit    | 629          | /unit    | 629          |
| Subtotal Customer/Guest Spaces    |          |          | 839          |          | 827          |
| Subtotal Employee/Resident Spaces |          |          | 126          |          | 113          |
| Subtotal Reserved Spaces          |          |          | 629          |          | 629          |
| Total Parking Spaces              |          |          | 1,594        |          | 1,569        |

Source: Walker Parking Consultants Shared Parking Model, September 2007

### SHARED PARKING DEMAND

When the provided program data is entered into our model, along with adjustments for captive factors and driving ratios, the synergy between the different land uses and opportunities for shared parking can be identified. Table 4 reflects the adjustments to the driving ratios and captive factors used in the shared parking model.

**Table 4: Adjustments for captive factors and driving ratios**

| Land Use             | Driving Ratio |         |         |         | Non Captive Ratio |         |         |         |
|----------------------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|
|                      | Weekday       |         | Weekend |         | Weekday           |         | Weekend |         |
|                      | Daytime       | Evening | Daytime | Evening | Daytime           | Evening | Daytime | Evening |
| General Retail       | 85%           | 85%     | 85%     | 85%     | 80%               | 80%     | 80%     | 80%     |
| Employee             | 0%            | 0%      | 0%      | 0%      | 100%              | 100%    | 100%    | 100%    |
| Convention Center    | 75%           | 75%     | 75%     | 75%     | 60%               | 60%     | 70%     | 70%     |
| Employee             | 0%            | 0%      | 0%      | 0%      | 100%              | 100%    | 100%    | 100%    |
| Hotel-Business       | 66%           | 66%     | 77%     | 77%     | 100%              | 100%    | 100%    | 100%    |
| Hotel Employee       | 0%            | 0%      | 0%      | 0%      | 100%              | 100%    | 100%    | 100%    |
| Residential Guest    | 85%           | 85%     | 85%     | 85%     | 100%              | 100%    | 100%    | 100%    |
| Residential Reserved | 100%          | 100%    | 100%    | 100%    | 100%              | 100%    | 100%    | 100%    |

Source: Walker Parking Consultants Shared Parking Model, September 2007

At the 7<sup>th</sup> and Mill Development we do expect to see some captive effects through the shared uses of the hotel and convention center. The non-captive ratio represents the percentage of users who originally arrive to the site from somewhere else. In this case, captive user groups include the people who are staying at the hotel or attending an event at the convention space and or visiting the retail components. The convention center is intended to be the primary convention space in downtown Tempe. We assume a significant captive rate for the convention center use, given the interaction of the hotel and the convention space. Our model assumes that 30% to 40% of patrons who use the convention center will already be parked on-site as a result of the hotel. Finally, the captive effect from the retail component will come from the hotel guests, residents and health club that have already parked in the development. This calculation assumes that 20% of these patrons will utilize the retail component during the peak demand.

The driving ratio factors have been adjusted to reflect the use of alternate modes of transportation such as mass transit, light rail, walking or riding a bike. Another contributing factor is the proximity of the Arizona State University campus. It is anticipated that the retail component will receive frequent utilization from students. A 15% reduction for residential guests and shoppers users has been factored in across the board for each of these user groups. This factor has been determined from information provided by the City of Tempe, Public Works Department. Similarly, the mode of transportation that a hotel guest use to get to the hotel and convention space, such as a shuttle, cab, light rail or walking from nearby hotels, has been factored into the hotel and convention groups accordingly. These reduction factors are based in part from historical data gather by Walker and projected mass transit use. All employees for the hotel, retail and health clubs will be required to park off site, if they choose to drive, and use one of the City-

## 7<sup>TH</sup> AND MILL DEVELOPMENT

### SHARED PARKING ANALYSIS



DECEMBER 7, 2007

25-1391.03

operated parking facilities. Since no employees are being allowed to park in this development, the driving ratios have been reduced to 0%, thus eliminating the demand from this user group.

Walker's model estimates parking demand for the project from 6:00 a.m. to midnight on weekdays and Saturdays for every month of the year and then determines when the demand will peak for the combination of uses proposed. The peak demand will occur on a typical November weekend at 5:00 p.m. at 985 spaces. This is a 38% reduction as compared to the "unshared" demand. The peak weekday demand will occur in November at 5:00 p.m. and will have a combined peak of 1,023 spaces. This is a 35% reduction as compared to the "unshared" demand. Tables 5 and 6 reflect the results of the shared parking model for the weekday and weekend.

**Table 5: Peak Weekday Shared Parking Demand**

|                                | Unshared Demand | Non Captive Daytime | Drive Ratio Daytime | Demand Month: November 5:00 PM |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| General Retail                 | 113             | 80%                 | 85%                 | 53                             |
| Employee                       | 27              | 100%                | 0%                  | 0                              |
| Convention Center              | 430             | 60%                 | 75%                 | 194                            |
| Employee                       | 39              | 100%                | 0%                  | 0                              |
| Hotel-Business                 | 240             | 100%                | 66%                 | 90                             |
| Hotel Employee                 | 60              | 100%                | 0%                  | 0                              |
| Residential Guest              | 56              | 100%                | 85%                 | 19                             |
| Residential Reserved           | 629             | 100%                | 100%                | 629                            |
| Subtotal Customer/Guest Spaces | 783             |                     |                     | 337                            |
| Subtotal Employee Spaces *     | 126             |                     |                     | 0                              |
| Subtotal Resident Spaces       | 685             |                     |                     | 648                            |
| Total Parking Spaces           | 1,594           |                     |                     | 985                            |
|                                |                 |                     | % reduction         | 38%                            |

Source: Walker Parking Consultants Shared Parking Model, September 2007

**Table 6: Peak Weekend Shared Parking Demand**


|                                | Unshared Demand | Non Captive Daytime | Drive Ratio Daytime | Demand Month: November 5:00 PM |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| General Retail                 | 125             | 80%                 | 85%                 | 55                             |
| Employee                       | 31              | 100%                | 0%                  | 0                              |
| Convention Center              | 430             | 70%                 | 75%                 | 226                            |
| Employee                       | 39              | 100%                | 0%                  | 0                              |
| Hotel-Business                 | 216             | 100%                | 77%                 | 94                             |
| Hotel Employee                 | 43              | 100%                | 0%                  | 0                              |
| Residential Guest              | 56              | 100%                | 85%                 | 19                             |
| Residential Reserved           | 629             | 100%                | 100%                | 629                            |
| Subtotal Customer/Guest Spaces | 771             |                     |                     | 375                            |
| Subtotal Employee Spaces *     | 113             |                     |                     | 0                              |
| Subtotal Resident Spaces       | 685             |                     |                     | 648                            |
| Total Parking Spaces           | 1,569           |                     |                     | 1,023                          |
|                                |                 |                     | % reduction         | 35%                            |

Source: Walker Parking Consultants Shared Parking Model, September 2007






Figure 1: Weekday Parking Demand



See Appendix B for detailed breakdown of uses.

Source: Walker Parking Consultants Shared Parking Model, September 2007

Figure 2: Weekend Parking Demand



See Appendix B for detailed breakdown of uses.

Source: Walker Parking Consultants Shared Parking Model, September 2007



DECEMBER 7, 2007

25-1391.03

## CURRENT CODE REQUIREMENTS

### CITY OF TEMPE CODE REQUIREMENTS

The City of Tempe's off-street parking requirements are outlined in Table 4-60E: Ratios for Off-Street Parking, located in the Code of Ordinances. Because the site is located within the Transportation Overlay District, reductions can be assessed per Table 5-612A – Transportation Overlay District Reductions to Minimum Parking. Table 7 below represents the City of Tempe parking requirements within the TOD.

Walker worked under the assumption that the conference would receive the same reduction as the retail component, since these uses were not defined in Table 5-612A, and based upon discussion with City officials. The Back-of-House (BOH) space was not included in the parking calculation per discussions with the City. The TOD allowable reductions for a multi-family use are 0.75 parking spaces per bedroom plus 0.2 parking spaces per unit for guest parking. There is no hotel room reduction within the TOD. However, there is a 25% floor area reduction, not-to-exceed 10,000 square feet, for retail and conference space.

Table 7: Current Code Requirement

| Residential (.75/bdr TOD reduction)   | Units                  | Sp/Unit | Total Cars   |
|---|------------------------|---------|--------------|
| 1 Bdr Units   | 74                     | 0.75    | 56           |
| 2 Bdr Units   | 222                    | 1.5     | 333          |
| 3 Bdr Units   | 37                     | 2.25    | 83           |
| 4 Bdr Units   | 37                     | 3       | 111          |
| Guest   |                        | 0.2     | 74           |
|   | Total                  |         | 657          |
| <b>Hotel (Space Calc w/ 25% allowable TOD reduction, NTE 10,000 sqft.)</b>  |                        |         |              |
| Keys  | 240                    | 1.0     | 240          |
| Conference  | 68,178                 | 1/125   | 545 (1)      |
| <b>Retail (Space Calc w/ 25% allowable TOD reduction, NTE 10,000 sqft.)</b> |                        |         |              |
| Retail Total with Mezzanine   | 29,300                 | 1/300   | 98           |
| <b>Total</b>  | <b>Spaces Required</b> |         | <b>1,540</b> |

Legend:

(2) B.O.H. space excluded from demand calculation

Source: Walker Parking Consultants Shared Parking Model, September 2007

The City also utilizes a Shared Parking model (to be calculated by City personnel) to provide further parking reductions within the TOD. Based upon discussions with the City, the ULI model, similar to the model that Walker Parking utilizes, will be used to calculate the City's shared parking requirements.



## RECOMMENDATION

Based upon Walker's assessment, we anticipate that the proposed development at 7<sup>th</sup> and Mill will generate a peak demand of 1,023 parking spaces (at roughly 5:00 p.m. on a peak weekend). The peak demand, utilizing shared parking methodology, represents a 34% reduction to the code requirements for the City of Tempe utilizing the TOD reductions. The City's shared parking model will be required, however this model can only be used by City personnel and is therefore not included within this report. Our detailed analysis has been performed using up-to-date parking ratios and industry-accepted methodology.

---

**Table 8: Recommended Shared Use Parking Requirement**

---

|                    |       |
|--------------------|-------|
| City of Tempe      | 1,540 |
| Walker Recommended | 1,023 |
| % Reduction        | 34%   |

Source: Walker Parking Consultants, September 2007

# MEMORANDUM

GAMMAGE & BURNHAM  
A Professional Limited Liability Company

February 22, 2008

TO: Ryan Levesque,  
City of Tempe

RE: Summary of Public Outreach regarding M-7 PAD  
1.7 acres of property located at SEC 7<sup>th</sup> St & Mill Ave

The project team has made a concentrated effort to reach out to the community. To date, comments received regarding the project and the application have been overwhelmingly supportive. We will continue to address specific questions and comments that may arise throughout the zoning process.

(1) Phone Calls:

| DATE    | PERSON         | ADDRESS | AFFILIATION                 | ISSUES DISCUSSED  |
|---------|----------------|---------|-----------------------------|---|
| 1/28/08 | David Schwartz |         | Economic & Planning Systems | 303-623-3557<br>Phone messages left – he did not return calls |

(2) Sunset Riverside Neighborhood Association Meeting of December 4, 2007:

The applicant's legal and architect representatives attended the regularly scheduled monthly meeting of the Sunset Riverside Neighborhood Association on December 4, 2007. We contacted the Association and were invited to attend this regular meeting. The meeting on our project began at 6:35 p.m. At this meeting, we presented and discussed the site plan and elevations and answered questions from those in attendance. There were approximately seven residents in attendance as indicated on the attached sign-in sheet. **Exhibit A** includes the sign-in sheet and written comment of support received that evening. These residents were supportive of the PAD.

Residents inquired about the height. We stated that the height will follow the City height policy for the Downtown / Mill Avenue District. We reviewed the proposed heights for the three towers, noting that the height along Mill Avenue will be 50 feet and step back as the height reaches 210 feet for the hotel tower. We stated that the two residential towers will have a height of 306 feet. There was no apparent concern over the proposed height since it generally meets City guidelines, the height is similar to the height of adjacent buildings approved for University Square and fits in with the existing and proposed projects in downtown Tempe.

Residents inquired about the proposed hotel and conference center. They were supportive of the conference center and liked the circumstance that the owner, Paragon Sanchez Development,

L.L.C. constructed similar conference center projects in the U.S. and Canada. A resident asked whether the conference center will be run by the City. We stated that the conference center will be a private facility not unlike other facilities within the City. We noted that this small group conference center will fill a market niche in the Valley and be one of the largest facilities in Tempe. A resident inquired on whether there was sufficient market for the conference center and whether the hotel market was already saturated in downtown Tempe. We stated that the approximate 90,000 gross-square feet of the conference center exceeds the demand of the proposed 240-room hotel on our site. This design is intentional as the conference space will pull conference attendees from other hotels in the downtown and greater region. We noted the hotel/conference center study prepared by Economics Research Associates for the City dated September 2007. The residents in attendance were supportive of the proposed uses, including the hotel and conference center.

Several residents inquired on the status of nearby projects and incorporation of adjoining uses into the M-7 development. Of interest, a few residents asked about the possible incorporation of the Jack in the Box and Salvation Army site. We stated that the Jack in the Box site has a long term lease and is not part of our PAD. We stated that we have had ongoing discussion with the Salvation Army to buy their site for a future phase for M-7 to either rebuild them space at their location or relocate them. The residents commended our outreach efforts with the Salvation Army, noting their service in Tempe is important.

A resident inquired on the use of brick in our design. We stated that brick will be used along Mill Avenue and transition to other material as the structure moves away from Mill Avenue.

A resident inquired on when the existing buildings on the site will be demolished. We stated that we anticipate PAD approval in spring 2008. Existing structures will not be removed until the start of construction. The concern was removal of the existing buildings too far in advance of actual construction.

A couple residents inquired on the parking. A resident inquired on what would happen if the shared parking model used by the City in downtown is wrong. There was discussion regarding student parking in the downtown taking away retail parking. We explained that the City shared parking model is a model currently used in other jurisdictions. We explained that our parking will be part of the DTC cooperative parking pool that helps regulate consistent fees. We reviewed the parking calculations and circulation for our project. We received positive comments with the design of the parking fully underground. Most of the attendees agreed that the challenges to parking relate to a change in mindset to use public off-site parking lots, walk, and other transit options.

The meeting ended around 7:05 p.m.

### (3) Downtown Tempe Community (DTC) Hot Team Meeting of December 10, 2007:

On December 10, 2007, Manjula Vaz of Gammage and Burnham, Michael Rumpeltn, Mike Duffy and Dat Tran with RSP Architects, and John Cahill from Paragon Development attended the Downtown Tempe Community Hot Team meeting. Pam Goronkin, Chris Wilson, Steven Voss, and Kevin Moore all attend as members of the Hot Team. The Applicant's team presented the site plan and discussed the project.

The DTC has provided the Planning Department with comment regarding the Hot Team meeting. **Exhibit B** includes a copy of the DTC Design and Planning Objectives Report.

(4) Neighborhood Meeting of January 9, 2008:

Our official neighborhood meeting was held at Hatton Hall located at 34 E 7<sup>th</sup> Street in Tempe at 6:00 p.m. In attendance were approximately ten residents in addition to the development team, property owner of the project site, and a City representative. **Exhibit C** includes a copy of the sign-in sheet and two written letters of support from this meeting

The developer for the project provided some background on his company and gave examples of the type of projects the company builds.

The development team presented a PowerPoint of the proposed project, including an overview of the number of rooms, height, floor plan, access, parking, and other related matters.

A resident inquired whether the roof deck pool area on top of the conference center can be seen from the Orchid House development. The development team stated that the two residential towers will screen most, if not all, views of the pool deck. The location of the pool at the southwest corner of the building, the roof parapets, and other screening elements will minimize views between the two residential towers and maximizes the solar orientation for the pool.

A resident inquired whether public parking will be provided and asked the number of parking levels. Minus the reserved spaces for the residential units and the valet for the hotel, the representative for the development team stated that approximately 300 parking spaces will be available as part of the downtown parking pool. John Cahill of Paragon Development explained how public spaces will be available due to the size of the conference center serving more than just the on-site hotel and the available street-parking. He explained that there will be five levels of below-grade parking. The project representative, using the site plan, indicated the proposed on-street parking along 7<sup>th</sup> Street.

There was discussion on access around the site and traffic impact. The developer noted the traffic study done for the project. The overall concern of the residents was over growing gridlock with new developments, like University Square and others, without changes to the current roadway configurations. Also, residents worried that new developments along 7<sup>th</sup> Street will negatively affect the stacking capacity on University Drive for vehicles turning onto Myrtle Avenue. A resident wanted to ensure the loading area in the alley for the project will not block access to the parking garage on the south side of the alley. The project representative discussed the location of the two proposed loading bays, the time limitation on deliveries, and the goal of the City to limit the size of trucks.

A resident asked if all the residential units will be for sale product and the estimated price range. Responding, the developer stated the intent is to provide for sale residential product, and it is too early to provide a specific price range. However, the developer stated the price range will be near the middle market.

The development team reviewed the tentative timeline for the PAD- noting anticipated approval in April 2008. The project representative noted that the current request is for only PAD, that design

review approval which includes detail on the architectural elements will be approved later- likely shortly after PAD approval.

A resident inquired on when the existing buildings will be knocked down. The owner of the project site stated the existing structures will not be removed until the start of construction. He added construction will not likely start for close to two years. He explained that he will continue to lease existing building space and make necessary improvements for existing tenants until such time construction for the project will require removal. He understood the community concern in removing existing buildings too far in advance of actual construction. He added that the Long Wongs building was removed because of structural damage and violation of the building code. A residential house was removed because of fire damage. The other businesses will remain until the start of construction.

Responding to a question about the hotel operator, the developer explained that no operator has been determined. However, he explained that they have an existing relationship with Marriott. It was noted that the hotel operator will operate the hotel and conference center; however the conference center name may not reflect the name of the hotel operator. The developer provided an example of a Canadian conference center and hotel, named for the municipality, but due to various business reasons operate under a major hospitality company.

The residents at the Orchid House noted that their community lacks a pool. They requested future discussion regarding the ability to use pool and other amenities with the understanding this may involve fees. The developer stated he would be happy to discuss such options.

A resident inquired on the status of the Jack-in-Box. He suggested that this use may be best served on the ground floor of the project. The development team noted that this fast-food restaurant was not a part of the project. Discussion ensued over the current City regulations over drive-thru establishments, with a note that the owner did pursue incorporating this site into the proposed project.

The meeting ended about 7:15 p.m.

## Exhibit A

**SUNSET RIVERSIDE NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING**  
**7<sup>TH</sup> & MILL PROJECT**  
**DECEMBER 4, 2007**

PLEASE PRINT

| NAME             | ADDRESS             | TELEPHONE      | EMAIL                      |
|------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| JASON COMER      | 700 W UNIVERSITY DR |                | JASON.COMER@GMAIL.COM      |
| Darin Price      | 720 S ROOSEVELT #9  |                | deprice@usg.net            |
| BARBARA BRANDON  | 128 S. CARNEY AVE.  | (480) 966-6183 |                            |
| Joyce E. Barnett | 1226 4th ST. #3     |                |                            |
| Dave Swanson     | 1217 W. 4th St.     | 480-967-2731   | d_swanson@yahoo.com        |
| Edward Wong      | 324 S. Wilson St    | 480-966-8883   | azcaneman@yahoo.com        |
| Julie Jakubek    | 1220 S Ash Ave      | (480) 949-5670 | Julie.Jakubek@allstate.com |
|                  |                     |                |                            |
|                  |                     |                |                            |
|                  |                     |                |                            |
|                  |                     |                |                            |
|                  |                     |                |                            |
|                  |                     |                |                            |
|                  |                     |                |                            |
|                  |                     |                |                            |



**SUNSET RIVERSIDE NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING**  
**7<sup>TH</sup> & MILL PROJECT**  
**DECEMBER 4, 2007**

I SUPPORT

☒

I DO NOT SUPPORT

☐

NONE

☐

COMMENTS:

---

---

---

---

---

---

NAME:

JASON COMER

ADDRESS:

700 W UNIVERSITY DR #210

TELEPHONE:

(602) 373-7934

EMAIL:

JASON.COMER@GMAIL.COM

PLEASE FILL OUT CARD AND TURN IN OR MAIL TO:

GAMMAGE & BURNHAM

ATTN: PAUL MICHAUD

2 N. CENTRAL AVENUE, 18<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR

PHOENIX, AZ 85004

OR EMAIL COMMENTS TO:

[PMICHAUD@GBLAW.COM](mailto:PMICHAUD@GBLAW.COM)



## **Memo: DTC Design and Planning Objectives Report**

Downtown Tempe Community Design Hot Team

December 19, 2007

Subject: 7M/Paragon Project

Recommendations:

- Treatment of the Streetscapes: While the Mill Avenue frontage is attractive and effectively blends with the existing streetscape, the 7<sup>th</sup> Street frontage is lacking in landscape blending and transition. This is even more prevalent on the Myrtle Avenue frontage and should be addressed. Additionally, while the 7<sup>th</sup> Street sidewalk is well designed and the “0 edge” curb is in line with the DTC’s streetscape objective, we recommend that this same treatment be considered on the Myrtle Avenue frontage.
- We generally like the placement, density, and diversity of retail space and are in favor of the proposed recess for the retail spaces and the non-contiguous patios.
- The valet entrance/exit to the parking facilities on 7<sup>th</sup> Street is a unique approach to the issue of traffic. We would recommend that this be allowed.
- Understanding the need for service entries, the alley located to the South of the project should also serve as a public space and a connection. Utilize unique paving solutions, lighting, signage and art to make this area attractive to pedestrians while maintaining its serviceability.
- While the step-backs along Mill Avenue are attractive and well apportioned, the 7<sup>th</sup> Street and Myrtle frontages are more monolithic in nature and should be addressed if possible.
- While it is currently outside the scope of the proposed project, any effort to incorporate Jack in the Box or the Jack in the Box property into this

development would be beneficial. In most urban environments, fast food restaurants are prevalent but not stand alone buildings.

- While the South elevation is essentially the “back” of this building, based on its visibility we recommend that it be treated in a manner to ensure that it is visually pleasing to the surrounding area.
- The reduction of entry widths to the hotel lobby and the residential lobby is appreciated as it allows for more interesting retail frontage. However, it is recommended that the entry to the hotel be treated boldly to create a sense of arrival and draw attention to the entry.

Comments:

In general, the team found this project to be unique, diverse and a good addition to the Mill Avenue District. The approximately 90,000 square feet of conference space will accommodate more attendees than the hotel can accommodate. As such, this project will benefit other area hotels in the form of room nights. The additional retail space is well designed and apportioned and the street level of the building, along with the proposed step backs fits well with the “feel” of the Mill Avenue District.

We thank you for your consideration and look forward to working with you.

Hot Team Members:

Pam Goronkin  
Chris Wilson  
Kevin Moore  
Steven Voss  
James Hatch

## DT Tempe Interested Parties List

|     | Name                    | Address   | CityStZip         | Salutation | Notes  |
|-----|-------------------------|---|-------------------|------------|--|
| 1.  | Jonathan Thums          | P.O.Box 3126  | Tempe AZ 85280    | Neighbor   | New Horizons   |
| 2.  | Greg Swick              | 154 W. 5 <sup>th</sup> Street<br>#101                     | Tempe, AZ 85281   | Neighbor   | Resident   |
| 3.  | D.L. Hoskinson          | Holualoa Hayden<br>Square<br>51 E. 3 <sup>rd</sup> Street | Tempe, AZ 85281   | Neighbor   | Holualoa Rep   |
| 4.  | Michael Wasko           | 21 E. 6 <sup>th</sup> Street<br>Unit 309                  | Tempe, AZ 85281   | Neighbor   | DTC Board<br>Member;<br>Neighborhood<br>Advisory<br>Commission |
| 5.  | Jason Comer             | 700 W. University<br>Drive                                | Tempe, AZ 85281   | Neighbor   | Sent support letter<br>re: Centerpoint                         |
| 6.  | Ruperto Salinas         | 1327 W. 10 <sup>th</sup><br>Street                        | Tempe, AZ 85281   | Neighbor   | Supports<br>redevelopment,<br>new hotels                       |
| 7.  | Dave Wilson             | 21 E. 6 <sup>th</sup> Street<br>#606                      | Tempe, AZ 85281   | Neighbor   | Lives in Brickyard   |
| 8.  | Trevor Barger           | 728 W. 9 <sup>th</sup> Street                             | Tempe, AZ 85281   | Neighbor   |  |
| 9.  | Dave Swanson            | 1217 W. 4 <sup>th</sup> Street                            | Tempe, AZ 85281   | Neighbor   |  |
| 10. | Dr. Amy Barmeier<br>Dru | 1277 E. Missouri  | Phoenix, AZ 85014 | Neighbor   | Requested<br>notification of<br>projects                       |

## NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING

Neighborhood Meeting

M-7 - 7<sup>th</sup> & MillHatton Hall 34 E. 7<sup>th</sup> Street, Tempe Arizona 85281.

On Wednesday, January 9, 2008 at 6:00 p.m.

PLEASE PRINT

| NAME               | ADDRESS                                | TELEPHONE    | EMAIL |
|--------------------|--|--------------|-------|
| Geelynn Smith      | 21 W. Geneva Dr.                       |              |       |
| FRED NEAL          | 21 E 6th Street                        | 480 759-3921 |       |
| Mike Chalwid       | 100150 W University<br>20 E University | 410-966-2778 |       |
| DAVE WILSON        | 21 E. 6th St.                          | 480-706-1509 |       |
| IAN ARIZONA        | 27 E 7th St —                          | 480-710-3848 |       |
| MARC JUNG          | 26 E UNIVERSITY                        | 480 966 5247 |       |
| Decima Sever       | Cot 31 E 5 <sup>th</sup> St            | 480 3508920  |       |
| PAT HAYS           | 21 E 6 <sup>th</sup> St                |              |       |
| Don Ingels         | 21 E 6th St #616                       | 480-829-3737 |       |
| Cassie Wertzberger | 21 E 6th St #306                       | 480-391-2252 |       |
|                    |  |              |       |
|                    |  |              |       |
|                    |  |              |       |

Fred Neal  
needs

**NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING**

M-7 – 7<sup>th</sup> & Mill

Hatton Hall 34 E. 7<sup>th</sup> Street, Tempe Arizona 85281.

On Wednesday, January 9, 2008 at 6:00 p.m.

I SUPPORT



I DO NOT SUPPORT



NONE



COMMENTS:

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

NAME: DAVE WILSON  
ADDRESS: 21 E. 6th ST.  
TELEPHONE:  
EMAIL: dwilson33@yahoo.com

email me!  
E

PLEASE FILL OUT CARD AND TURN IN OR MAIL TO:

GAMMAGE & BURNHAM  
ATTN: Manjula Vaz  
2 N. Central Avenue, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Phoenix, AZ 85004

OR EMAIL COMMENTS TO:

mvaz@gblaw  
pmichaud@gblaw.com

CONTACT INFO:

Manjula Vaz 602-256-4461  
Paul Michaud 602-256-4425

**NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING**

M-7 – 7<sup>th</sup> & Mill

Hatton Hall 34 E. 7<sup>th</sup> Street, Tempe Arizona 85281.

On Wednesday, **January 9, 2008** at **6:00 p.m.**

I SUPPORT



I DO NOT SUPPORT

☐

NONE

☐

COMMENTS:

---

---

---

---

---

---

NAME: Don Ingels

ADDRESS: 21 East 6th Street #616, Tempe

TELEPHONE: 480-829-3737

EMAIL: don.ingels@cisa2.com

PLEASE FILL OUT CARD AND TURN IN OR MAIL TO:

GAMMAGE & BURNHAM

ATTN: Manjula Vaz

2 N. Central Avenue, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Phoenix, AZ 85004



OR EMAIL COMMENTS TO:

mvaz@gblaw

pmichaud@gblaw.com


CONTACT INFO:

Manjula Vaz 602-256-4461

Paul Michaud 602-256-4425







ESP Architects  
400 S. Mill Ave. Suite 200  
Tempe, AZ 85281  
480.885.2000  
www.esparchitects.com

Comments



I hereby certify that this plan specification report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly Licensed Professional Engineer in the State of Arizona.

Signature  
Date  
Project No.  
Project Name

# M7 MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT & TEMPE CONFERENCE CENTER

Project No. 1737.001.00  
Drawn By MD, DT, JD  
Checked By MVR, JP  
Date 02.28.08

MISC: This project is a mixed-use development including a hotel, retail, office, and residential components. The project is located in the M7 area of Tempe, Arizona. The project is a mixed-use development and is subject to the same rules and regulations as other mixed-use developments in the State of Arizona.

| Rev | Date     | Description   |
|-----|----------|---------------|
| 1   | 02.28.08 | Initial Issue |

SITE PLAN

# A001

- ### GENERAL NOTES
1. EMERGENCY RADIO AMPLIFICATION TO BE PROVIDED IN BUILDING.
  2. SUBSEQUENT TO POLICE DEPT. MEETINGS, SECURITY PLAN TO BE PROVIDED TO IJC.
  3. ALLEY ON PROPERTY TO BE ABANDONED AS PART OF THE SUBDIVISION PLAN.
  4. SEWER WILL BE RELOCATED TO UNIVERSITY OR.
  5. WATER MAIN WILL GO THROUGH EXISTING ALLEY.
  6. SPECIAL DRAINAGE HYDRAULIC WILL BE PROVIDED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.

### PROJECT DIRECTORY


**OWNER**  
THE CITY OF TEMPE  
400 S. MILL AVE. SUITE 200  
TEMPE, AZ 85281  
F: 480.885.2000  
WWW.ESPARCHITECTS.COM

**ARCHITECT**  
ESP Architects  
400 S. MILL AVE. SUITE 200  
TEMPE, AZ 85281  
F: 480.885.2000  
WWW.ESPARCHITECTS.COM

**STRUCTURAL ENGINEER**  
Erickson Road & Associates  
1000 N. GILBERT AVE. SUITE 100  
MESA, AZ 85203  
F: 480.237.2400  
WWW.ERICKSONROAD.COM

**MECHANICAL ENGINEER**  
David Evans & Associates  
1000 N. GILBERT AVE. SUITE 100  
MESA, AZ 85203  
F: 480.237.2400  
WWW.DAAS-ARCHITECTS.COM

**CIVIL & ELECTRICAL ENGINEER**  
CONE CONSULTANTS, INC.  
1000 N. GILBERT AVE. SUITE 100  
MESA, AZ 85203  
F: 480.237.2400  
WWW.CONECONSULTANTS.COM



### SHEET INDEX

| Rev | Date     | Description   |
|-----|----------|---------------|
| 1   | 02.28.08 | Initial Issue |

### VICINITY MAP

SP 202 RIO MONTAÑA  
COLLEGE AVE  
MIL AVE  
UNIVERSITY DR  
KENDRA RD

### LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A PORTION OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER,  
SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 1 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST,  
GLA AND SALT RIVER BASE AND MERIDIAN,  
MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA.

### PROJECT DATA

|   |   |
|---|---|
| 1. Project Name:<br>M7 Mixed Use Development                    | 11. Parking:<br>Hotel: 240 spaces<br>Residential: 140 spaces<br>Office: 140 spaces<br>Retail: 140 spaces<br>Total: 660 spaces                     |
| 2. Project Address:<br>701 S. Mill Ave. Tempe AZ 85281          | 12. Proposed Uses (Occupancies):<br>Hotel: 240 spaces<br>Residential: 140 spaces<br>Office: 140 spaces<br>Retail: 140 spaces<br>Total: 660 spaces |
| 3. Project Description:<br>M7 Mixed Use Development             | 13. Proposed Uses (Occupancies):<br>Hotel: 240 spaces<br>Residential: 140 spaces<br>Office: 140 spaces<br>Retail: 140 spaces<br>Total: 660 spaces |
| 4. Use Permits:<br>Temple Parking                               | 14. Proposed Uses (Occupancies):<br>Hotel: 240 spaces<br>Residential: 140 spaces<br>Office: 140 spaces<br>Retail: 140 spaces<br>Total: 660 spaces |
| 5. Project Size:<br>1.73 Acres - 75,247 SF                      | 15. Proposed Uses (Occupancies):<br>Hotel: 240 spaces<br>Residential: 140 spaces<br>Office: 140 spaces<br>Retail: 140 spaces<br>Total: 660 spaces |
| 6. General Plan 2003 Projected Land Use:<br>Mixed Use           | 16. Proposed Uses (Occupancies):<br>Hotel: 240 spaces<br>Residential: 140 spaces<br>Office: 140 spaces<br>Retail: 140 spaces<br>Total: 660 spaces |
| 7. Existing Zoning:<br>C-1 City Center / T10                    | 17. Proposed Uses (Occupancies):<br>Hotel: 240 spaces<br>Residential: 140 spaces<br>Office: 140 spaces<br>Retail: 140 spaces<br>Total: 660 spaces |
| 8. Type of Construction (per BC 2003):<br>Type I-A construction | 18. Proposed Uses (Occupancies):<br>Hotel: 240 spaces<br>Residential: 140 spaces<br>Office: 140 spaces<br>Retail: 140 spaces<br>Total: 660 spaces |
| 9. Height of building - 306 feet maximum                        | 19. Proposed Uses (Occupancies):<br>Hotel: 240 spaces<br>Residential: 140 spaces<br>Office: 140 spaces<br>Retail: 140 spaces<br>Total: 660 spaces |
| 10. Number of stories - 26                                      | 20. Proposed Uses (Occupancies):<br>Hotel: 240 spaces<br>Residential: 140 spaces<br>Office: 140 spaces<br>Retail: 140 spaces<br>Total: 660 spaces |



RSP Architects  
400.880.2000  
500 S. College Avenue  
Tucson, AZ 85701  
www.rsparchitects.com

Consent



Certification

I hereby certify that this plan, specification, or report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly Licensed Architect under the laws of the State of Arizona.

Signature

Date

Project No.

Project Name

**M7 MIXED-USE  
DEVELOPMENT  
& TEMPE  
CENTER**

Project No.

Drawn By

Checked By

Date

1737.001.00

MD, DT, JD

MXR, JP

02.28.08

MD, DT, JD

MXR, JP

02.28.08

MD, DT, JD

MXR, JP

02.28.08

MD, DT, JD

MXR, JP

02.28.08

MD, DT, JD

MXR, JP

02.28.08

MD, DT, JD

MXR, JP

02.28.08

MD, DT, JD

MXR, JP

02.28.08

MD, DT, JD

MXR, JP

02.28.08

MD, DT, JD

MXR, JP

02.28.08

MD, DT, JD

MXR, JP

02.28.08


MD, DT, JD

MXR, JP

02.28.08

### GENERAL NOTES

1. ALL EXTERIOR AND INTERIOR LIGHT FIXTURES WILL BE INCORPORATED INTO THE EXTERIOR WALLS TO ALLOW FOR MAXIMUM NATURAL SURVEILLANCE AND ACTIVITY SUPPORT.
2. WILL PROVIDE HIGHLY REFLECTIVE WHITE PAINT SURFACES AT WALLS AND CEILING OF GARAGE.
3. ALL TANDON SPACES WILL BE ASSIGNED TO RESIDENTIAL USE. TANDON SPACES WILL BE ASSIGNED TO RESIDENTIAL USE PERMIT. APPLICATION NEEDED FOR FURTHER PROCESSING.
4. VISUAL SURVEILLANCE BETWEEN EXISTING CORRIDORS AND GARAGE LEVELS WILL BE MAXIMIZED.
5. HOTEL VALET PARKING ONLY.



1 B1 LEVEL  
1:0.1" = 10'-0"

PARKING LEVEL  
B1

# A002



**RSP Architects**  
12 S. College Avenue  
Suite 203  
480.889.2000  
480.889.2059 fax  
www.rsparch.com

## conclusions



Signature \_\_\_\_\_

100

**Project For**

**M7 MIXED-USE  
DEVELOPMENT  
& TEMPE  
CONFERENCE  
CENTER**

Project No. 1727 001 00

MD, DT, JD

MXR, JP  
02 28 08

00:07:70

PHILCO. The designs shown and described herein including all technical drawings, PHILCO, the designs thereof, any proprietary and cannot be copied, duplicated or otherwise used without the express written permission of PHILCO. The designs shown and described herein, in whole or in part, without express written permission of PHILCO, are hereby prohibited. These are available for limited review and modification by clients, consultants, contractors, government agencies, vendors and affiliates personnel only in accordance with this Notice.

Copyright PSP Architects 2001. All rights reserved.


[illegible]

ARKING LEVEL  
2-4

A003

## GENERAL NOTES

1. ALL STAIRWAY AND ELEVATOR LOBBY DOORS WILL INCORPORATE 1'-0" X 1'-0" FIRE-RATED GLAZING TO ALLOW FOR MAXIMUM LIGHT, SURVEILLANCE AND ACTIVITY SUPPORT.
2. WILL PROVIDE HIGHLY REFLECTIVE WHITE PAINT SURFACES AT WALLS AND CEILINGS OF GARAGE.
3. ALL TANDEN SPACES WILL BE ASSIGNED TO RESIDENTIAL USES ONLY. TANDEN PARKING REQUIRES APPROVAL WITH A USE PERMIT. APPLICATION NEEDED FOR FURTHER PROCESSING
4. VISUAL SURVEILLANCE BETWEEN EXIT CORRIDORS AND GARAGE LEVELS WILL BE MAXIMIZED.



|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R | S | T | U | V | W | X | Y | Z | AA | AB | AC | AD | AE | AF | AG | AH | AI | AJ | AK | AL | AM | AN | AO | AP | AQ | AR | AS | AT | AU | AV | AW | AX | AY | AZ | BA | BB | BC | BD | BE | BF | BG | BH | BI | BJ | BK | BL | BM | BN | BO | BP | BQ | BR | BS | BT | BU | BV | BW | BX | BY | BZ | CA | CB | CC | CD | CE | CF | CG | CH | CI | CJ | CK | CL | CM | CN | CO | CP | CQ | CR | CS | CT | CU | CV | CW | CX | CY | CZ | DA | DB | DC | DD | DE | DF | DG | DH | DI | DJ | DK | DL | DM | DN | DO | DP | DQ | DR | DS | DT | DU | DV | DW | DX | DY | DZ | EA | EB | EC | ED | EE | EF | EG | EH | EI | EJ | EK | EL | EM | EN | EO | EP | EQ | ER | ES | ET | EU | EV | EW | EX | EY | EZ | FA | FB | FC | FD | FE | FF | FG | FH | FI | FJ | FK | FL | FM | FN | FO | FP | FQ | FR | FS | FT | FU | FV | FW | FX | FY | FZ | GA | GB | GC | GD | GE | GF | GG | GH | GI | GJ | GK | GL | GM | GN | GO | GP | GQ | GR | GS | GT | GU | GV | GW | GX | GY | GZ | HA | HB | HC | HD | HE | HF | HG | HH | HI | HJ | HK | HL | HM | HN | HO | HP | HQ | HR | HS | HT | HU | HV | HW | HX | HY | HZ | IA | IB | IC | ID | IE | IF | IG | IH | II | IJ | IK | IL | IM | IN | IO | IP | IQ | IR | IS | IT | IU | IV | IW | IX | IY | IZ | JA | JB | JC | JD | JE | JF | JG | JH | JI | JJ | JK | JL | JM | JN | JO | JP | JQ | JR | JS | JT | JU | JV | JW | JX | JY | JZ | KA | KB | KC | KD | KE | KF | KG | KH | KI | KJ | KK | KL | KM | KN | KO | KP | KQ | KR | KS | KT | KU | KV | KW | KX | KY | KZ | LA | LB | LC | LD | LE | LF | LG | LH | LI | LJ | LK | LL | LM | LN | LO | LP | LQ | LR | LS | LT | LU | LV | LW | LX | LY | LZ | MA | MB | MC | MD | ME | MF | MG | MH | MI | MJ | MK | ML | MM | MN | MO | MP | MQ | MR | MS | MT | MU | MV | MW | MX | MY | MZ | NA | NB | NC | ND | NE | NF | NG | NH | NI | NJ | NK | NL | NM | NN | NO | NP | NQ | NR | NS | NT | NU | NV | NW | NX | NY | NZ | OA | OB | OC | OD | OE | OF | OG | OH | OI | OJ | OK | OL | OM | ON | OO | OP | OQ | OR | OS | OT | OU | OV | OW | OX | OY | OZ | PA | PB | PC | PD | PE | PF | PG | PH | PI | PJ | PK | PL | PM | PN | PO | PP | PQ | PR | PS | PT | PU | PV | PW | PX | PY | PZ | QA | QB | QC | QD | QE | QF | QG | QH | QI | QJ | QK | QL | QM | QN | QO | QP | QQ | QR | QS | QT | QU | QV | QW | QX | QY | QZ | RA | RB | RC | RD | RE | RF | RG | RH | RI | RJ | RK | RL | RM | RN | RO | RP | RQ | RR | RS | RT | RU | RV | RW | RX | RY | RZ | SA | SB | SC | SD | SE | SF | SG | SH | SI | SJ | SK | SL | SM | SN | SO | SP | SQ | SR | SS | ST | SU | SV | SW | SX | SY | SZ | TA | TB | TC | TD | TE | TF | TG | TH | TI | TJ | TK | TL | TM | TN | TO | TP | TQ | TR | TS | TT | TU | <th>TW</th> <th>TX</th> <th>TY</th> <th>TZ</th> <th>UA</th> <th>UB</th> <th>UC</th> <th>UD</th> <th>UE</th> <th>UF</th> <th>UG</th> <th>UH</th> <th>UI</th> <th>UJ</th> <th>UK</th> <th>UL</th> <th>UM</th> <th>UN</th> <th>UO</th> <th>UP</th> <th>UQ</th> <th>UR</th> <th>US</th> <th>UT</th> <th>UU</th> <th>UV</th> <th>UW</th> <th>UX</th> <th>UY</th> <th>UZ</th> <th>VA</th> <th>VB</th> <th>VC</th> <th>VD</th> <th>VE</th> <th>VF</th> <th>VG</th> <th>VH</th> <th>VI</th> <th>VJ</th> <th>VK</th> <th>VL</th> | TW | TX | TY | TZ | UA | UB | UC | UD | UE | UF | UG | UH | UI | UJ | UK | UL | UM | UN | UO | UP | UQ | UR | US | UT | UU | UV | UW | UX | UY | UZ | VA | VB | VC | VD | VE | VF | VG | VH | VI | VJ | VK | VL |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|

1  
82-84  
A.T. No. 100



**RSP Architects**  
202 S. College Avenue  
Suite 203  
Denver, CO 80202  
480.888.2000  
480.888.2099 fax  
www.rsparch.com

consultants

## Consultants



I hereby certify that this plan, specification or report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly licensed architect under the laws of the State of Arizona.

**Firma**

---

Project For

**M7 MIXED-USE  
DEVELOPMENT  
& TEMPE  
CONFERENCE  
CENTER**

Project No. 1727 001 00

|             |           |
|-------------|-----------|
| 1737.001.00 |           |
| By          | 140 00 10 |

MD, DI, JD  
Stocked By

MXR. JP

**NOTE:** The designs shown and described herein including all technical drawings, sketches, and models thereof, are proprietary and cannot be copied, digitized or otherwise reproduced in any form or by any means, without express written permission of the inventor.

References: There are no patents for the claimed machine and methods by claims.


Disclaimers, Contradictions, Government aprioris, veritas and other pertinent only accordance with Bill Nelson

[illegible]PARKING LEVEL  
35

# A004

## GENERAL NOTES

1. ALL STAIRWAY AND ELEVATOR LOBBY DOORS WILL INCORPORATE 1'-0" X 1'-0" FIRE-RATED GLAZING TO ALLOW FOR MAXIMUM NATURAL SURVEILLANCE AND ACTIVITY SUPPORT.
2. PAINT WILL PROVIDE HIGHLY REFLECTIVE WHITE PAINT SURFACES AT WALLS AND CEILINGS OF GARAGE.
3. ALL TANDEN SPACES WILL BE ASSIGNED TO RESIDENTIAL USES ONLY. TANDEN PARKING REQUIRES APPROVAL WITH A USE PERMIT. APPLICATION NEEDED FOR FURTHER PROCESSING.
4. VISUAL SURVEILLANCE BETWEEN EXIT CORRIDORS AND GARAGE LEVELS WILL BE MAXIMIZED.


$$\mathbf{T.O.F.} = -46.2^\circ$$

**1** **B5 LEVEL**  
1"=20'









**SP Architects**  
202 S. College Avenue  
Suite 203  
Ames, IA 50011  
480.889.2000  
480.889.2099 fax  
[www.sparch.com](http://www.sparch.com)

### Consultants



I hereby certify that this plan, specification or report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly licensed architect under the laws of the State of Arizona.

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

460

### Project For

**M7 MIXED-USE  
DEVELOPMENT  
& TEMPE  
CONFERENCE  
CENTER**

Project No. 1727 001 00

JP, MD, DT, JD

|            |        |
|------------|--------|
| Checked By | MYR ID |
|------------|--------|

|      |          |
|------|----------|
| DATE | 02 00 00 |
| TIME | 02 00 00 |

NOTE: The design shows and described herein including all technical drawings, graphics, and models tested, are proprietary and cannot be copied, duplicated or otherwise exploited, in whole or in part, without express written permission of APACohort. These are available for limited review and evaluation by clients, consultants, contractors, government agencies, students and other personnel only.


[illegible]

### THIRD FLOOR

A103

## GENERAL NOTES

1. ALL STAIRWAY AND ELEVATOR LOBBY DOORS TO INCORPORATE 1" X 1' 4" FIRE-RATED GLAZING TO ALLOW FOR MAXIMUM NATURAL SURVEILLANCE AND ACTIVITY SUPPORT.
2. PROVIDE HIGHLY REFLECTIVE WHITE PAINT SURFACES AT WALLS AND CEILINGS OF GARAGE.
3. ALL TANGIBLE SPACES TO BE ASSIGNED TO RESIDENTIAL.



1 3RD FLOOR PLAN  
1"=20'  
T.O.F.F. = +31'-6"



**RSP Architects**  
212 S. College Avenue  
Suite 203  
480.889.2000  
480.889.2099 fax  
www.rsparch.com

**RSP Architects**  
212 S. College Avenue  
Suite 203  
480.889.2000  
480.889.2099 fax  
www.rsparch.com

## consultants



I hereby certify that this plan, specification or report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly licensed architect under the laws of the State of Arizona.

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

197

Subject Per

**M7 MIXED-USE  
DEVELOPMENT  
& TEMPE  
CONFERENCE  
CENTER**

Project No. 1737 001 00

1737.001.00

Checked By MYR IP

02 20 00

FIGURE. The designs shown and described herein including all technical drawings, graphics, and models thereof, are proprietary and cannot be copied, duplicated or mechanically reproduced, in whole or in part, without express written permission of the author. These are available for limited online and on-demand use only. All rights, copyrights, trademarks, and/or patents are reserved and will remain the property of the author.


[illegible]

MAIN BALLROOM/  
2RD FLOOR PLAN

# A103.5

## GENERAL NOTES



1. ALL STAIRWAY AND ELEVATOR LOBBY DOORS TO INCORPORATE 1'-0" X 1'-0" FIRE-RATED GLAZING TO ALLOW FOR MAXIMUM NATURAL SURVEILLANCE AND ACTIVITY SUPPORT.
2. PROVIDE HIGHLY REFLECTIVE WHITE PAINT SURFACES AT WALLS AND CEILINGS OF GARAGE.
3. ALL TANDUM SPACES TO BE ASSIGNED TO RESIDENTIAL.



**1** MAIN BALLROOM / 3RD FLOOR PLAN  
1"=20'

# GENERAL NOTES

1. ALL STAIRWAY AND ELEVATOR LOBBY DOORS TO INCORPORATE 1" X 1/4" FIRE-RATED GLAZING TO ALLOW FOR MAXIMUM NATURAL SURVEILLANCE AND ACTIVITY SUPPORT.
2. PROVIDE HIGHLY REFLECTIVE WHITE PAINT SURFACES AT WALLS AND CEILING OF GARAGE.
3. ALL WALKWAY SPACES TO BE ASSIGNED TO RESIDENTIAL USE ONLY.



1 4TH FLOOR PLAN  
1/8" = 1'-0"

**BSP Architects**  
400.589.2000  
10000 S. Greenway Avenue  
Suite 200  
Tempe, AZ 85281  
www.bsparchitects.com

Comments

**Certification**

I hereby certify that the plans depicted on this sheet were prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly Licensed Architect under the laws of the State of Arizona.

Signature: *[Signature]*  
Registration No.: 13429  
Date: *[Date]*  
Project No.: *[Project No.]*

## M7 MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT & TEMPE CONFERENCE CENTER


Project No.: 1737.001.00  
Drawn By: JP, MD, DT, JD  
Checked By: MVR, JP  
Date: 02.28.08

NOTES: The single floor and building shown on this sheet are intended to be used in conjunction with the other sheets of this set of plans. It is the responsibility of the user to ensure that all sheets of this set of plans are used in conjunction with the other sheets of this set of plans. The user is responsible for obtaining all necessary permits and approvals from the appropriate authorities.

| No. | Date | Description |
|-----|------|-------------|
|     |      |             |
|     |      |             |
|     |      |             |
|     |      |             |
|     |      |             |
|     |      |             |
|     |      |             |
|     |      |             |
|     |      |             |

FOURTH FLOOR

A104



**RSP Architects**  
122 S. College Avenue  
Suite 203  
480.889.2000  
480.889.2099 Fax  
www.rsparch.com

### consultants



I hereby certify that this plan, specification or report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly licensed architect under the laws of the State of Arizona.

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

100

Subject For

**M7 MIXED-USE  
DEVELOPMENT  
& TEMPE  
CONFERENCE  
CENTER**

1737 001 00

JP, MD, DT, JD

MXR, JP


02:28.08

**NOTE:** The designs shown and described herein including all technical drawings, graphics, and models thereof, are proprietary and cannot be copied, duplicated or commercially exploited, in whole or in part, without express written permission of Architects. These are available for limited review and evaluation by clients, students, consultants, government agencies, vendors and other personnel only in accordance with this Notice.

[illegible]

FIFTH FLOOR

# A105



**1** 5TH FLOOR HOTEL PLAN







-----

**Verification**



JOSEPH A.  
S. TYPICAL



I am a duly licensed  
 agent or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly licensed  
 architect under the laws of the State of Arizona.

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

---

Project for

[illegible]

# THE

## 9 TEAM

**CONFERENCE**

## CENTER

— —

Project No. 1727 001 00

JP, MD, DT, JD

02 28 08

NOTE: The designs shown and described herein including all technical drawings, graphics, and media's thereof, are proprietary and cannot be copied, duplicated or

in accordance with this fiction.

|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|

|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|

|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|


| Mark | Date | Description |
|------|------|-------------|
|------|------|-------------|

NORTH

.....

# A4C1

# APPENDIX



40' 20' 10'

SCALE: 1" = 20'-0"

**1** NORTH ELEVATION  
1"=20'

NORTH  
BUILDING ELEVATION

# A401



**SP Architects**  
12 S. College Avenue  
Suite 203  
Irvine, CA 92614  
Phone: 949.453.8888  
Fax: 949.453.8889  
www.sparchitects.com

consultancy



I, or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly Licensed  
 architect under the laws of the State of Arizona.

signature

you know that

to

1

**Project For**

**AM7 MIXED-USE  
DEVELOPMENT  
& TEMPE  
CONFERENCE  
CENTER**

Project No. ....

1737.001.00

IP MD DT .IN

October 1997

MXR, JP

**NOTE:** The designs shown and described herein including all technical drawings, graphics, and models herein, are proprietary and cannot be copied, duplicated or otherwise reproduced, in whole or in part, without express written permission of Architects. Shows are available for limited review and evaluation by clients, consultants, contractors, government agencies, vendors and office personnel only consistent with this Notice.

[illegible]

SOUTH ELEVATION

1" = 20'-0"

## OUTH UILDING ELEVATION


# A402

























**SP Architects**  
102 S. College Avenue  
Suite 203  
480.889.2000  
480.889.2099 fax  
[www.rsparch.com](http://www.rsparch.com)

### consultants

### Certification



I hereby certify that this plan, specification or report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly licensed architect under the laws of the State of Arizona.

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

ON LINE

1

**M7 MIXED-USE  
DEVELOPMENT  
& TEMPE  
CONFERENCE  
CENTER**

Project No. 1797 001 00

JP, MD, DT, JD

MXR, JP

00:07:20

**NOTE:** The designs shown and described herein including all technical drawings, schedules, and models provided, are proprietary and cannot be copied, duplicated or otherwise reproduced, in whole or in part, without express written permission of the Architect. There are no guarantees for limited review and consultation by clients, architects, contractors, government agencies, vendors and other personnel only in connection with this design.

Copyright ©2017 Architects 2021. All rights reserved.


[illegible]

LONGITUDINAL SECTION

# A501

## GENERAL NOTES

1. ADDRESS WILL BE PROVIDED ON ROOF OF TOWERS.
2. ROOF PARAPET WILL CONCEAL CELLULAR ANTENNAE INTO THE ARCHITECTURE OF THE BUILDING.



SCALE: 1" = 20'-0"

**1** LONGITUDINAL SECTION  
1'-20"





no transfer

**M7 MIXED-USE  
DEVELOPMENT  
& TEMPE  
CONFERENCE  
CENTER**


02 28 08

**NOTE:** The designs shown and described herein including all technical drawings, graphics, and model forms, are proprietary and cannot be copied, duplicated or otherwise exploited, in whole or in part, without express written permission of HSP Architects. They are available for limited review and evaluation by clients, consultants, contractors, government agencies, students and other personnel only in accordance with this Notice.

[illegible]

## TRANSVERSE SECTIONS

# A503



HOTEL TRANSVERSE SECTION

---

0 10' 20' 40'

SCALE: 1" = 20'-0"





